## CITY OF LEE'S SUMMIT PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT SERVICES DIVISION STANDARDIZED EVALUATION FORM Interview Ranking Composite Score Sheet

## RFP NO: 2020-007 PROJECT: Body Cameras, Audio/Video Cars and Interview Rooms

Composite Interview Score Sheet

50 Point Questions 30 Point Questions 20 Point Questions				
Outstanding 41 – 50 25 – 30 17 - 20				FIRM
Exceeds Acceptable 31 – 40 19 – 24 13 - 16				
Acceptable 21 – 30 13 – 18 9 - 12				
Marginal 0 – 20 0 – 12 0 - 8	Pts	# Mbrs	Max Pts	Enforcement
1. Evidence of Experience & References with Similar Projects (FORM 1, 2, 3, 5)				
Consider experience and references listed by the firm/provider on Form 3 of the RFP. Is the provider experienced in providing services similar to that requested in				
the RFP?				
Familiarity and experience with similar projects	30	4	120	102
Consider any sub-consultants to be used and their experience (if applicable)	30	4	120	102
Standard Quality Assurance/Quality Control program or procedures the firm has in place				
<ul> <li>Adequacy of proposed team/resources to complete project within proposed time frame</li> </ul>				
2. Expertise of Firm/Provider Personnel (FORM 3, 4)				
Consider comparable experience and background of specific personnel that shall be assigned to the City's project as outlined on Form 4 of the RFP. Also consider				
the specific involvement of those persons in projects listed on Form 3 of the RFP. Experience on projects of similar scope and size:				
Project Manager	20	4	80	65
Project team				
• Sub-consultants (if applicable)				
3. Project Approach (FORM 5)				
Evaluate the firm/provider's approach to and understanding of the Scope of Services required in the RFP as evidenced by the project approach presented in Form				
5.				
<ul> <li>Project schedule and detailed approach is reasonable/responsive to City's needs</li> </ul>				
Roles of all involved parties clearly identified	50	4	200	181
• Familiarity with project location as evidenced by proposal (if applicable)				
Identify/recognize critical or unique issues specific to the project				
<ul> <li>Adequacy of proposed communications process</li> </ul>				
• Unique approaches that have been successful elsewhere.				
• Ability to most City's defined requirements from Appendix A				
	100	J	400	348