CITY OF LEE'S SUMMIT PROCUREMENT and CONTRACT SERVICES DIVISION STANDARDIZED EVALUATION FORM

Proposal Ranking Score Sheet Composite

PROJECT: Medical Services RFP NO.: 2020-001

Composite Proposal Score Sheet

								Composite i reposar o	
	30 Point Questions	20 Point Questions	10 Point Questions					FIRM	FIRM
Outstanding	25 - 30	17 - 20	9 - 10			1		LIVIAI	LIVIA
•			9 - 10 7 - 8						
Exceeds Acceptable	19 - 24	13 - 16						CanaNann	Commenter
Acceptable	13 - 18	9 - 12	5 - 6		pts	# com	max pts	CareNow	Concentra
Marginal	0 - 12	0 - 8	0 - 4						
1. Evidence of Experience, Re	liability and References:	(FORM 3):							
Consider experience and references listed by the firm/provider on Form 3 of the RFP. Is the provider experienced in providing services similar to that						5	150	65	126
requested in the RFP? Conside	r any sub-consultants to l	be used and their e	xperience (if applicab	e).					
2. Expertise of Firm Personne	,								
Consider comparable experience and background of specific personnel that shall be assigned to the City's project as outlined on Form 4 of the RFP.						5	150	76	125
Also consider the specific involvement of those persons in projects listed on Form 3 of the RFP. Experience on projects of similar scope and size:							150	70	123
Project Manager, Project team	n, sub-consultants (if appli	icable).							
3. Applicable Resources: (FOR	RM 1, 2, AND 5):								
Evaluate the extent of applicab	ole resources available to	the firm / provider	to complete the City'	project as listed on Forms 1, 2, and 5 of the RFP.					
Standard Quality Assurance/Quality Control program or procedures the firm has in place. Adequacy of proposed team/resources to complete project						5	50	36	42
within proposed time frame.									
4. Project Approach: (FORM	5):								
Evaluate the firm/ provider's a	pproach to and understa	nding of the Scope	of Services required i	the RFP as evidenced by the project approach out.					
Project schedule and detailed approach is reasonable/responsive to City's needs. Roles of all involved parties clearly identified. Familiarity with									
project location as evidenced by proposal (if applicable). Identify/recognize critical or unique issues specific to the project. Adequacy of proposed						5	100	58	87
communications process. Unio		, ,	•						
	de la fella a marina arriga (1904)								
5. Cost: Includes all related cost associated with this project. (FORM 6A/6B):					10	5	50	50	35
					100	1	500	285	415
						_			•