The following document contains the review of the code pertaining to the citizen review group as well as staff recommendations. The 2018 International Property Maintenance Code can be found at: https://codes.iccsafe.org/public/document/IPMC2018.

Status Legend:

Cur. = Currently adopted or very similar to currently adopted code.

New = New code that is not currently adopted in the municpal code anywhere.

Mod. = Modified code from existing. A portion may have changed from existing.

Staff Recommendations in bold represent proposed changes to the base code.

	Chapter 3 - General Requirements					
Section	Status	Citizen Input	Staff Recommendation	Board of Appeals Comments		
301: Gen	eral					
301.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.		
301.2	New	Several citizens expressed confusion if this code clearly specifies who is the occupant-owner and if renters are responsible. There were additional concerns that this wouldn't cover commercial buildings based on the current phrasing. The two proposals made were to remove the dwelling units from the language and to consider adding wording to cover commercial properties. Some citizens also found the 2018 code acceptable as is.	Staff interprets the code as a whole applies to all properties and does not make exceptions for residential or commercial unless stated. Staff recommends no change to the wording as dwelling units should be included. Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.		
301.3	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.		
302: Exte	rior Prop	erty Areas				
302.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.		

302.2	New	Citizen's proposed changes to include detention as an exception since retention is not the same. The other concern was that the code doesn't clearly establish what is a pond and what is standing water.	Staff does not recommend including detention as they should drain to no standing water if properly designed & maintained in accordance to the Design and Construction Manual. Staff does not recommend defining a pond at this location as the section is defined as grading and drainage therefore standing water should not be created as a result of grading and drainage. A pond/reservoir would be a designed feature and not a nuisance of standing water. Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
302.3	Cur.	Citizen's provided mixed feedback as to if removal of snow & ice should be an exception or should be required. Some citizens supported this idea while others rejected it.	The city has historically never defined a requirement for the removal of snow & ice conditions from public or private sidewalks/drives or similar areas. Therefore to remain consistent with past practices, the staff recommends adopting the code as "Sidewalks, walkways, stairs, driveways, parking spaces and similar areas shall be kept in a proper state of repair." - striking the rest of the sentence.	The Board shared some comments about the requirements within the city noting that different subdivisions can have their own rules. Staff made mention that the consistency across the KC Metro area varies based on the city and that there is not a consistent convention and that the modification to the code follows existing practices.
302.4	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written with the maximum weed height to remain the 10 inches as is currently adopted.	The Board commented that historically it was 12 inches and was decreased to 10 inches around 6 to 8 years ago. The Board also internally discussed if this applied to cultivated plants and the conclusion was that it did not.

302.5	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
302.6	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
302.7	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
302.8	New	One citizen proposed that vehicles should be	Staff recommends to adopt as written	The Board asked if this was currently
		allowed to be stored in the backyard if it was	staying consistent with past practices.	regulated, staff responded that we do
		fenced regardless of opperability.		have a current ordinance that
				regulates this. It is not allowed at this
				time.
302.9	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
303: Swim	ming Po	ols, Spas and Hot Tubs		
303.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt the following,	The Board asked why the change was
			"Swimming pools shall be maintained in a	requested and staff explained that it
			clean and sanitary condition, and all	was rooted in a case where it a pool
			components of the pool maintained in a	was covered and no longer in use but
			functioning state. Abandoned or unused	was not being maintained. Staff had
			swimming pools, spas, and hot tubs that do	few options to address the situation
			not meet these conditions may require	where the pool had fallen into
			removal at the discretion of the codes	disrepair but was covered.
			official."	
303.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
304: Exter	ior Struc	ture		
304.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.

304.1.1	New	Citizens raised concern that the city shouldn't be checking caulking on houses as it isn't their role. Others stated that nonvisible items aren't a life safety issue and should not be included. Some citizens did support this as it could be utilized by a renter to encourage a landlord to make repairs.	to item #4. Staff interprets this code as a reference towards a larger hole that would be as a result of missing trim, flashing, or an actual hole. Staff also could identify items	
304.2	Mod.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
304.3	Cur.		Staff does not agree with an exception to not have an identifier on the property as it could be related to a fire/police issue where	No comments.
304.4	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
304.5	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
304.6	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
304.7	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
304.8	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
304.9	Mod.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
304.10	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
304.11	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
304.12	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
304.13	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
304.13.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.

304.13.2	Cur.	Some citizens were against the requirement	Staff finds that there may be some safety	The board asked how this ordinance
		to have a window as openable that it	implications with this section of code with	was enforced, particularly in older
		shouldn't be of the city's concern.	regards to a falling window landing on a	homes that have painted multiple
			persons fingers/hand or breaking the	times where the seams are painted
			window if it were to fall. Staff has concerns	shut. The response from staff was
			with the ability to enforce this section of	that entry to the house would need to
			code as it would require an officer to check	be made for other reasons and that it
			each window upon inspection. Staff	wouldn't be enforced through active
			recommends adoption of the following:	patrol.
			"Every window, other than a fixed window,	
			shall be easily openable." - striking the rest	
			of the sentence.	

304.14	New	Some citizens had concerns about the use of	Staff finds that if a window is required for	The definition of a self closing device
		habitability were raised as not being	ventilation but it cannot be opened without	was asked by the Board: One that will
		sufficient to evict a renter in court. Others	a screen, it is therefore unusable for the	close without human intervention.
		also had concerns that this shouldn't even be	intended purpose. Therefore staff	Such as a spring on a screen door.
		a city ordinance to require.	recommends adopting the code as written	This applies to windows that are
			but with the dates striken. Due to the	required for ventilation. If there is a
			seasons of the year in this location staff does	window and a door in the room the
			not find that limiting the season provides	door would not have to have a
			any benefit.	screen. Mechanical ventilation is
				acceptable in lieu of a door or
				window. The question was then
				asked if there are specific places that
				have to have a window? Ventilation
				can occur through natural means or
				mechanical. This code refers to
				openings for ventilation only.
				Screens are required on openable
				doors and windows that are used for
				ventilation. Chapter 401.3
				alternative devices which refers to
				mechanical ventilation and artificial
				light. It is suggested that this
				paragraph be struck from the code.
				The Board of Appeals agrees to strike
				this paragraph.
304.15	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
304.16	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.

304.17	New	Some had concerns that this section of code	Staff finds that this code does not mean	Is that as easy as a screen on the
		would require multiple layers of protection.	multiple layers of protection are required.	window was asked. Staff responded
		Recommendations were to make a list of	Staff also does not agree with making a list	that a screen would meet the
		approved materials rather than leaving it up	as it would constrain the potential use of a	requirements of the code.
		to the codes official. There were also	new material that would be acceptable or	
		concerns that it would be to strict on home	the use of a material that was inadvertently	
		owners. A recommendation was made to	omitted which would require judgement to	
		strike this whole code.	be made by the codes official. Therefore	
			staff recommends to adopt as written.	
304.18	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
304.18.1	New	Citizens had concerns that the termonology	Staff recommends to adopt as written and	The Board commented that new
		is not consistent with earlier chapters. There	to add an exception "Locks not confroming	construction has a secruity code based
		was some concern that the code would not	to the code may be accepted by review of	on the principles adopted 10+ years
		allow for some newer lock technologies to	the codes official". This would allow for the	ago. This would apply to older homes
		be acceptable. A recommendation was	flexibility to accept new lock technology	that did not have this security code
		made to strike the word deadbolt out and to	that could meet the code requirements.	when constructed.
		allow any lock that is a minimum of at least 1		
		inch in size.		
304.18.2	New	No comments	Ctoff recommends to adopt as written	No comments.
			'	
304.18.3	New	No comments	·	No comments.
304.19	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
305: Interi	_			
305.1	Cur.	No comments	'	No comments.
305.1.1	New	No comments	'	No comments.
305.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.

305.3	New	Citizens had mixed feedback on this code. Fears about children eating paint were raised to support it and others thought that the government shouldn't dictate this as it was their paint to look at. Home owners recommended an exception for owner-occupied while landlords heavily opposed this. Some thought that this wasn't required because existing health laws covered this and that nothing should be included.	Staff recommends striking this code as the code is potentially to subjective for enforcement.	Board of Appeals agrees with striking this section of code.
305.4	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
305.5	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
305.6	New	Citizens raised concerns about this being overreach to enforce.	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
306: Com	ponent S	Serviceability		
306.1	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
306.1.1	New	Some citizens disagreed with this even being an ordinance to maintain. Others had concerns that the materials list was not inclusive enough and needs expanded. Some thought existing code already covered this so duplication was not required.	-	Staff made additional comments that ome of the language is currently in the code as written today, but may be more vague. A lot of this can be addressed by current code.
307: Hand	drails and	d Guardrails		
307.1	New	It was identified that this section of code applies to both commercial & residential under building codes which are different. The recommendation was made to add a section 307.2 and state that commercial properties should match the existing city building code.	Staff recommends to adopt the following, "Every exterior and interior flight of stairs having more than four risers shall have a handrail and guardrails as required by the building code at the time of construction or shall meet the following"	Staff made note that this doesn't match the residential or building code. Thus the addition of the language.

308.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
308.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as, "Every	The Board asked staff to differentiate
			occupant of a structure shall dispose of all	between rubbish and garbage. Staff
			rubbish in a clean and sanitary mannner by	explained that garbage is generated
			placing such rubbish in approved containers	from daily activities. Rubbish is used
			and disposing of such rubbish in an	appliances, yard debris etc.
			approved disposal facility."	
308.2.1	New	No comments.	Staff recommends striking this code as it	Board of Appeals agrees with striking
			has traditionally been the City's practice to	this section of code.
			hold the individuals producing the rubbish	
			as responsible for disposal.	
308.2.2	New	Citizens made the recommendation to	Staff recommends to adopt as written as	No comments.
		remove this line of code as rubbish includes	refridgerators are rubbish, but rubbish that	
		refridgerators.	require an additional step to avoid a	
			potential life/safety issue.	
308.3	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as,"Every	No comments.
			occupant of a structure shall dispose of	
			garbage in a clean and sanitary manner by	
			placing such garbage in an approved garbage	
			container and disposing of garbage in an	
			approved disposal facility."	
308.3.1	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
308.3.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
309: Pest I	Eliminat	ion		
309.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
309.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
309.3	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
309.4	Mod.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
309.5	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.

## Chapter 4 - Light, Ventilation and Occupancy Limitations ## 401: General ## 401.1 New No comments ## No comments ## No comments ## No comments ## Staff recommends to adopt as written. ## No comments ## No comments ## No comments ## Staff recommends to adopt as written. ## No comments ##	N/A	N/A	It was recommended to add a section of code that covers if a neighbor caused an infestation but no suggestion on how to phrase it was given.	Staff does not recommend to add an additional section as specific situations as suggested by the citizens should go through a judicial body such as civil court and are not a maintenance related issue.	No comments.
New No comments 401.2 New No comments Staff recommends to adopt as written. No comments. Staff recommends to adopt as written. No comments. Board of Appeals recommended changing the language to read "adopted codes" in lieu of IBC/IRC. Based on these comments staff recommends to adopt "In lieu of the International Residential Code shall be permitted." and agrees with this citizen's comments. When I is a staff recommends to adopt as written. No comments. Staff recommends to adopt as written. No comments. Board of Appeals recommended changing the language to read "adopted codes" in lieu of IBC/IRC. Based on these commends to adopt "In lieu of the means for natural light and ventilation herein prescribed, artificial light or mechanical ventilation complying with the ventilation complying ventilation complying with the ventilation complying ventilation complying ventilation complying with the ventilation complying ventilation compl			Chapter 4 - Light,	Ventilation and Occupancy Limitations	
New Citizens made a recommendation that this code should also include the residential and building code. Staff recommends to adopt as, "In lieu of the means for natural light and ventilation herein prescribed, artificial light or mechanical ventilation complying with the International Building Code or International Residential Code shall be permitted." and agrees with this citizen's comments. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as, "In lieu of the means for natural light and ventilation changing the language to read "adopted codes" in lieu of IBC/IRC. Based on these comments to adopt "In lieu of the means for natural light and ventilation herein prescribed, artificial light or mechanical ventilation complying with the	401: Gene	ral			
A01.3 New Citizens made a recommendation that this code should also include the residential and building code. Staff recommends to adopt as, "In lieu of the means for natural light and ventilation herein prescribed, artificial light or mechanical ventilation complying with the International Residential Code shall be permitted." and agrees with this citizen's comments. Staff recommends to adopt as, "In lieu of the means of Appeals recommended changing the language to read "adopted codes" in lieu of IBC/IRC. Based on these comments to adopt "In lieu of the means for natural light and ventilation herein prescribed, artificial light or mechanical ventilation complying with the	401.1	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
code should also include the residential and building code. means for natural light and ventilation herein prescribed, artificial light or mechanical ventilation complying with the International Residential Code shall be permitted." and agrees with this citizen's comments. changing the language to read "adopted codes" in lieu of IBC/IRC. Based on these comments to adopt "In lieu of the means for natural light and ventilation herein prescribed, artificial light or mechanical ventilation complying with the	401.2	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
402: Light			code should also include the residential and	means for natural light and ventilation herein prescribed, artificial light or mechanical ventilation complying with the International Building Code or International Residential Code shall be permitted." and	changing the language to read "adopted codes" in lieu of IBC/IRC. Based on these comments staff recommends to adopt "In lieu of the means for natural light and ventilation herein prescribed, artificial light or mechanical ventilation complying with the

402.1	New	Citizens recommendation is to hit the 8% as based on the code at the time of construction. There was a lot of concerns about this not being necessary and that it isn't a safety issue and served no purpose.	comments from the citizens group we are not in agreement with as compliance of this	Staff added clarity before the Board that this section and the next section (ventilation) indicate where it's possible to have natural light and ventilation. Where it's not possible use mechanical means. A variance could be requested where this code is not possible. The Board requests a modification that a door could meet the requirement if it is a glass door rather than a solid door.
402.2	New	There was concern that this would not interact well with existing structures as making changes could be near impossible.	Staff recommends to adopt as written. The comments from the citizens group we are not in agreement with as compliance of this code can easily be made compliant with the methods described in 401.3.	The Board asked if there were allowances for a different type of bulb? Staff responded, yes with the equivalent illumination.
402.3	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
403: Venti	lation			
403.1	New	One citizen/landlord had issues with the use of habitability with regards to eviction proceedings being constrained by this word usage.	Staff recommends to adopt as written. The argument about habitability is a localized argument that needs to be addressed in civil court and leasing agreements	Staff made mention that this issue is one that is between a landlord and a tenant and not with the city.

403.2	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt the following,	The Board asked what the intent was
			"Every bathroom and toilet room shall	of this change. Staff responded that
			comply with the ventilation requirements	the intent is that we currently allow
			for habitable spaces as required by Section	ventilation into the attic and it is not
			403.1, except that a window shall not be	required to be taken completely
			required in such spaces equipped with a	outside. This keeps the city in
			mechanical ventilation system. Air	alignment with current practices
			exhausted by a mechanical ventilation	under the current code and allows for
			system for a bathroom or tiolet room shall	less roof penetration. The Board also
			be discharged either to the attic or to the	asked if there was a conflict with this
			outdoors and shall not be recirculated.	language and the existing building
				code. Staff responded that they will
				match both the residential and
				building code at the time of adoption.
403.3	New	Citizens made a recommendation to define	Staff recommends to adopt as written. The	Staff added clarity that the certificate
		what the local certificate is and what it	certificate of occupancy is defined in the	is standardized and the content is
		should say.	adopted building code by the City and does	outlined elsewhere in the code.
			not need to be defined in the PMC.	
403.4	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
403.5	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
404: Occup	oancy Lii	mitations		
404.1	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
404.2	New	There were concerns that this code might	Staff recommends to adopt as written. With	No comments.
		lead to a house being boarded up due to	the concerns for over occupancy staff finds	
		over occupancy. The difficulty of achieving	that the intent of this code is to ensure that	
		corrections were met with resistance as	rooms that are non-conforming are not used	
		widening a room may be impossible.	as a bedroom for safety concerns related to	
			overcrowing, inhospitable conditions.	
404.3	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.

404.4	New	Code 404.4 through 404.4.5 were discussed as one section. Citizens had concerns about the government's role and shouldn't be involved in the location of bathrooms. Others countered that this was known when the place was purchased or moved into and was residence decision was made by the resident. Others agreed with the first	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
404.4.1	New	sentence but disagreed with the second. Other concerns were also raised about the selling of older houses may become impossible due to so many changes over time.	Staff recommends to adopt as written. The size of rooms is intended to provide safety for occupants and rooms smaller than these sizes would lead to difficulty moving around in a situation such as a fire.	No comments.
404.4.2	New	1	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
404.4.3	New		Staff recommends to adopt as written. Few instances would occur where bathrooms are not on the same floor or adjacent floors which would require a building to have at least three stories or a situation with a single bathroom off of a master bedroom. Failure to meet these requirements would lead to a quality of life issues.	
404.4.4	New	†	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
404.4.5	New	1	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.

1 4 4 4 5	1		lover the second second	I
404.5	New	Some citizens raised concerns about the	Staff recommends to adopt as written as the	No comments.
		areas being to large. Others also were	intent of these codes is to ensure livability	
		concerned that the definition of dining &	and quality of life in the community. Staff	
		living room was not clear enough. One	finds that dining & living rooms are	
		recommendation that was well received by	commonly understood terms and do not	
		the group was to add an exception if the	need to be defined seperately. With regards	
		house was maintained in it's original	to the exception the intent of this code is to	
			avoid overcrowding which results in a	
		without this regulation there would be no	life/safety issue. These limits would restrict	
		occupancy limits.	how many people are permitted to live on a	
			property and older properties that are small	
			would likely mean that fewer people would	
			be able to live at that property or would	
			need to comply with the efficiency unit	
			standards.	
	+			
404.5.1	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
404.5.2	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
		No comments One citizen's recommendation was that this	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as written.	
404.5.2	New	No comments One citizen's recommendation was that this should be struck as the residential code	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Hotel & motel units fall under a different	No comments.
404.5.2	New	No comments One citizen's recommendation was that this should be struck as the residential code doesn't permit efficiency units. Questions	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Hotel & motel units fall under a different type of occupancy and do not fall under this	No comments.
404.5.2	New	No comments One citizen's recommendation was that this should be struck as the residential code doesn't permit efficiency units. Questions were raised as to if this would cover	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Hotel & motel units fall under a different type of occupancy and do not fall under this type of code. The IRC doesn't bar the	No comments.
404.5.2	New	No comments One citizen's recommendation was that this should be struck as the residential code doesn't permit efficiency units. Questions were raised as to if this would cover hotel/motel units but no suggestion. In	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Hotel & motel units fall under a different type of occupancy and do not fall under this type of code. The IRC doesn't bar the placement of efficiency units, it does not	No comments.
404.5.2	New	No comments One citizen's recommendation was that this should be struck as the residential code doesn't permit efficiency units. Questions were raised as to if this would cover hotel/motel units but no suggestion. In general there was confusion about what this	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Hotel & motel units fall under a different type of occupancy and do not fall under this type of code. The IRC doesn't bar the placement of efficiency units, it does not define what they are therefore this code	No comments.
404.5.2	New	No comments One citizen's recommendation was that this should be struck as the residential code doesn't permit efficiency units. Questions were raised as to if this would cover hotel/motel units but no suggestion. In	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Hotel & motel units fall under a different type of occupancy and do not fall under this type of code. The IRC doesn't bar the placement of efficiency units, it does not define what they are therefore this code may still be enforced on an existing	No comments.
404.5.2 404.6	New New	No comments One citizen's recommendation was that this should be struck as the residential code doesn't permit efficiency units. Questions were raised as to if this would cover hotel/motel units but no suggestion. In general there was confusion about what this code applied to.	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Hotel & motel units fall under a different type of occupancy and do not fall under this type of code. The IRC doesn't bar the placement of efficiency units, it does not define what they are therefore this code may still be enforced on an existing structure.	No comments. No comments.
404.5.2	New	No comments One citizen's recommendation was that this should be struck as the residential code doesn't permit efficiency units. Questions were raised as to if this would cover hotel/motel units but no suggestion. In general there was confusion about what this code applied to. No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Hotel & motel units fall under a different type of occupancy and do not fall under this type of code. The IRC doesn't bar the placement of efficiency units, it does not define what they are therefore this code may still be enforced on an existing structure. Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
404.5.2 404.6 404.7	New New New	No comments One citizen's recommendation was that this should be struck as the residential code doesn't permit efficiency units. Questions were raised as to if this would cover hotel/motel units but no suggestion. In general there was confusion about what this code applied to. No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Hotel & motel units fall under a different type of occupancy and do not fall under this type of code. The IRC doesn't bar the placement of efficiency units, it does not define what they are therefore this code may still be enforced on an existing structure.	No comments. No comments.
404.5.2 404.6 404.7 501: Gene	New New New	No comments One citizen's recommendation was that this should be struck as the residential code doesn't permit efficiency units. Questions were raised as to if this would cover hotel/motel units but no suggestion. In general there was confusion about what this code applied to. No comments Chapter 5 - Plumbi	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Hotel & motel units fall under a different type of occupancy and do not fall under this type of code. The IRC doesn't bar the placement of efficiency units, it does not define what they are therefore this code may still be enforced on an existing structure. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Ing Facilities and Fixture Requirements	No comments. No comments. No comments.
404.5.2 404.6 404.7 501: Gene 501.1	New New Cur.	No comments One citizen's recommendation was that this should be struck as the residential code doesn't permit efficiency units. Questions were raised as to if this would cover hotel/motel units but no suggestion. In general there was confusion about what this code applied to. No comments Chapter 5 - Plumbi	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Hotel & motel units fall under a different type of occupancy and do not fall under this type of code. The IRC doesn't bar the placement of efficiency units, it does not define what they are therefore this code may still be enforced on an existing structure. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Ing Facilities and Fixture Requirements Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments. No comments. No comments.
404.5.2 404.6 404.7 501: Gene 501.1 501.2	New New Cur. Cur.	No comments One citizen's recommendation was that this should be struck as the residential code doesn't permit efficiency units. Questions were raised as to if this would cover hotel/motel units but no suggestion. In general there was confusion about what this code applied to. No comments Chapter 5 - Plumbi No comments No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Hotel & motel units fall under a different type of occupancy and do not fall under this type of code. The IRC doesn't bar the placement of efficiency units, it does not define what they are therefore this code may still be enforced on an existing structure. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Ing Facilities and Fixture Requirements	No comments. No comments. No comments.
404.5.2 404.6 404.7 501: Gene 501.1	New New Cur. Cur.	No comments One citizen's recommendation was that this should be struck as the residential code doesn't permit efficiency units. Questions were raised as to if this would cover hotel/motel units but no suggestion. In general there was confusion about what this code applied to. No comments Chapter 5 - Plumbi No comments No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Hotel & motel units fall under a different type of occupancy and do not fall under this type of code. The IRC doesn't bar the placement of efficiency units, it does not define what they are therefore this code may still be enforced on an existing structure. Staff recommends to adopt as written. Ing Facilities and Fixture Requirements Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments. No comments. No comments.

502.2	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
502.3	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
502.4	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
502.4.1	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
502.5	New	There were concerns raised if the health	Staff's response to citizen's concerns:	No comments.
		department already covers some of these	1) Health codes check for the presence of	
		items and that it may not belong in the	features such as running water, the PMC is	
		property maintenance code. There were	intended to check to ensure the	
		other concerns about people having to walk	maintainence and cleanliness of these	
		through a kitchen to reach a bathroom in the	features therefore the two codes are not the	
		back. Several had concerns about the	same.	
		second sentence and that it should either be	2) Staff interprets that in the instance that a	
		removed or left as a decision to the business.	bathroom is in the back would be	
		Other concerns were raised that this may	interpretted as a bathroom not for public	
		require an office that doesn't normally open	use and modifications of buildings is not	
		to the public to supply public bathrooms.	required by this code.	
		There were some concerns about the	3) Have Law weigh in on this item?	
		phrasing throughout that may lead to poor	4) Staff see's keyed controlled access as not	
		enforcement. The last recommendation was	a public bathroom and would not interpret	
		to pass a seperate ordinance requiring public	that situation as a compliance issue.	
		access rather than as part of this code.	5) Staff disagrees with needing a seperate	
			ordinance for this specific of an item.	
			Staff therefore recommends to adopt as	
			written.	
503: Toilet	Rooms			
503.1	New	Some citizens raised concerns that this could	Staff recommends to adopt as written. Staff	No comments.
		be applied to a residential property.	finds that this code should be applied to	
			residential properties along with all other	
			properties in the city.	
503.2	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
503.3	New	Some raised concerns that 500 ft. was to far	Staff recommends to adopt as written. 500	No comments.
		for spacing of bathrooms.	ft. is consistent with the IBC	

503.4	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
504: Plumb	oing Syst	tems and Fixtures		
504.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
504.2	New	Concerns were raised that "adequate" was	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
		unclear and should either be removed or	Adequate is interpretted as "the ability to"	
		clearly defined. Others suggested that hard	clean which would mean that inadequacy	
		numbers needed to be applied.	means that something cannot be cleaned	
			which would result in adverse conditions	
			which is the intent the PMC is trying to	
			address.	
504.3	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
505: Water	r System			
505.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
505.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
505.3	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
505.4	New	Citizens continued concerns about the use of	Staff believes that adequate is properly used	The Board said that this was required
		adequate as being to vague. Others were	here as defining every facility type and	today and that there are no permits or
		worried that no mention of expansion tanks	required usage would not encompass all	inspections required or performed at
		was made and that there were no	possible scenarios therefore the codes	this time.
		requirements.	official needs the discretion allowed by this	
			word to apply the code in a reasonable	
			manner. Staff interprets "Water heating	
			facilities" as inclusive of an expansion tank if	
			one is present. Staff recommends to adopt	
			as written.	
505.5	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
505.5.1	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
506: Sanita	ary Drain	nage System		
506.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
506.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.

506.3	New	There were concerns of a lack of a backflow preventer for a commercial property. Also code official was not italicied was identified as a formatting error.	There was a misunderstanding of the group of the placement of a backflow preventer that doesn't apply to this type of code. The intalicied issue was a difference between the hard copy book and the electronic version, the hard copy is correctly marked. Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
507: Stori	m Draina	200		
507.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
307.1	Jear.		chanical and Electrical Requirements	ino comments.
601: Gene	eral	enapter o me	triamed and Electrical Requirements	
601.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
601.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
602: Heat				
602.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
602.2	Cur.	A recommendation was made to add the phrase "to furnish a means to provide heat" or "heating equipment".	Staff finds that not determining a minimum level of heat provided does not address the situation of a lack of heating equipment (ie. A candle heats but not sufficiently). Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
602.3	Cur.	Concerns were voiced about what the dates would be but no suggestions were given. Some concerns were also given on if the requirement to provide heating equipment and the lease requirements and who would is responsible for payment (renter/landlord). Finally another suggestion was to simply require something to heat that is adequate for the space to leave the options open.	Staff recommends to strike this section. The requirements for heat listed on 602.2 would provide enough means to enforce a situation where heat wasn't provided and the additional details in section 602.3 would contribute to staff potentially mediating a tenant/landlord dispute.	The Board agreed with staff's recommendation to strike this section of code.

602.4	Cur.	One suggestion was to remove the dates.	Staff agrees and recommends to strike the	The Board agreed with staff's
			dates out of this code.	recommendation to strike this section
				of code.
602.5	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
603: Mech	nanical E	quipment		
603.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
603.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
603.3	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
603.4	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
603.5	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
603.6	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
604: Electi	rical Faci	ilities		
604.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
604.2	Mod.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
604.3	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
604.3.1	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
604.3.1.1	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
604.3.2	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
604.3.2.1	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
605: Electi	rical Equ	ipment		
605.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
605.2	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
605.3	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
605.4	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
606: Eleva	tors, Esc	calators and Dumbwaiters		
606.1	Mod.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
606.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
607: Duct	Systems			
607.1	New	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
		Chapte	er 7 - Fire Safety Requirements	
Note: The	majority	of this section of code comes from the adop	oted international fire code which is in the proce	ess of being adopted.

inote. The majority of this section of code comes from the adopted international fire code which is in the process of being adopted.

701: Gener	701: General				
701.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.	
701.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.	

702: Mear	ns of Egr	ess		
702.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
702.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
702.3	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
702.4	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
703: Fire-F	Resistan	ce Ratings		
703.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
703.2	Cur.	Recommendation that "unsafe conditions"	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
		should be defined. Another questioned if we	Since this section is a carry over from the	
		had section 111.1.1 adopted elsewhere in	fire code it should remain in place for quick	
		ordinance than this wouldn't be required.	reference. The administrative section is	
		Suggestion to move language in section	adopted under the IFC which would include	
		111.1.1 from IFC and move it to section 2 of	this section of code where it is defined.	
		the PMC.		
703.3	Cur.	Recommendation that if access to a wall is	This section of code is adopted by the Fire	No comments.
		possible the label should be readily	Department which is adopting it as written.	
		accessible. Concerns that the code isn't clear	To remain consistent staff recommends	
		on which walls are to be inspected.	adopting as written.	
		Suggestion to add a restriction that this is		
		only enforced 6 years after code adoption.		
		Another recommendation was to require this		
		at the time of occupancy for a business.		
703.3.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
703.3.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
703.4	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
703.4.1	Cur.	One citizen commented that the building		No comments.
		code doesn't require these signs.	building code references the fire code when	
			they are required. Therefore to remain	
			consistent staff recommends to adopt as	
			written.	
703.4.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
703.4.3	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
703.5	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.

702.6	١	O NEDA CO C	Crefficient and a the sittle and a settle and	N
703.6	Cur.		Staff's answer to the citizens question was ves we should reference it as the ICC	No comments.
		testing proceedures or not.	l'	
			standard practice is to reference existing standards rather than creating their own	
			which would remain consistent with past	
			practices. Therefore staff recommends to	
			adopt as written.	
			adopt as written.	
703.7	Cur.	The IBC doesn't reference atriums with	Under the fire code a vertical shaft is not an	The Board mentioned that there was a
		mechanical smoke exhaust as acceptable.	atrium and references elevator shafts,	section on atriums in the building
		This code implies it would now need to be	laundry shutes etc. Therefore the practice	code. There interpretation was that
		covered. The recommendation was to allow	of the city is not to include atriums in this	this section was directly related to
		an exception for atriums or provide specific	section of code. Staff recommends to adopt	atriums with a mechanical smoke
		rules on what should be installed &	as written.	exhaust.
		maintained.		
703.8	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704: Fire P	rotectio	n Systems		
704.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.1.1	Cur.	Question if there is anywhere in the codes	There is not a specific location that sets a	Staff provided clarity that these
		that specify what percent of a building if	hard limit but rather it is left to the	situations are looked at on a case by
		modified is allowed before an entire	discretion of the fire and/or building official	case basis.
		structure is required to be brought up to	to decide when it is appropriate to bring the	
		current code.	building up to current code standards. This	
			is in chapter 11 of the fire code and chapter	
			34 of the building code. Therefore staff	
			recommends to adopt as written.	
704.1.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.1.3	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.2.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.2.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.

704.3	Cur.	· ·	The fire code requires notification of the	No comments.
		fire watchers notify occupants of the watch.	responsible person for an occupancy and it	
			is left up to that individual to diffuse that	
			information as they see fit. This has been	
			consistent with past practices of the fire	
			department. Staff recommends to adopt as	
			written.	
704.3.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.4	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.4.1		There were concerns about the use of the	Chapter 1 of the fire code and chapter 13 of	No comments.
		word "unlawful" as the consequences are	the municipal code defines the	
		unclear.	consequences which in this case would be a	
			citation. Staff recommends to adopt as	
			written.	
704.4.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.4.3		Concerns that this code does not require	The building code is concerned with applying	No comments.
		approval from the building official. It also	the codes at the time of an inspection but	
		does not provide a range for time of notice	does not continue to inspect after	
		which the citizens thought should be	occupancy and rather it is up to the fire	
		specified.	department for enforcement. The fire code	
			currently requires systems to be non-	
			functional for up to 4 hours without	
			providing notification. Therefore staff	
			recommends to adopt as written.	
704.5	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.5.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.5.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.6	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.6.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.6.1.1	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.6.1.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.6.1.3	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.6.1.4	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.

704.6.2	Cur.	There were concerns that the first exception	The Fire Department would not require an	No comments.
7 0 11012		is unclear.	interconnected system if the existing system	
			was not. Major modifications would need to	
			be brought up to existing code which could	
			result in this change but would be at the	
			discretion of the building and/or fire official	
			to determine. Staff recommends to adopt	
			as written.	
704.6.3	Cur.	Recommendation was made to strike	Staff finds that listing the exception clearly	No comments.
		exception 1 because the power source is in	and plainly provides value and close a	
		the main comment. Some disagreed with	potential gap in code coverage. Staff	
		this recommendation.	recommends to adopt as written.	
704.6.4	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.
704.7	Cur.	Concerns that the responsibility of the	Staff interprets that the decision between	No comments.
		tenant is not clear and what happens in the	tenants and landlords for responsibility falls	
		event of failure.	on the terms of a lease and is not a code	
			issue. The landlord would still be	
			responsible for maintaining a safe	
			environment. Staff recommends to adopt as	
			written.	
705: Carb	on Mond	oxide Alarms and Detection		
705.1	Cur.	Question as to why the code doesn't identify	Carbon monoxide detectors need to follow	No comments.
		the specific location that carbon monoxide	the manufacturers recommendations for	
		detectors should go. It isn't defined like the	installation. Staff recommends to adopt as	
		fire detectors.	written.	
705.2	Cur.	No comments	Staff recommends to adopt as written.	No comments.