UNIFORM GUIDANCE SINGLE AUDIT REPORT JUNE 30, 2017

Contents

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	1 - 2
Independent Auditors' Report On Compliance For Each Major Federal Program; Report On Internal Control Over Compliance; And Report On Schedule Of Expenditures Of Federal Awards Required By The Uniform Guidance	3 - 5
Schedule Of Expenditures Of Federal Awards	6
Notes To Schedule Of Expenditures Of Federal Awards	7
Schedule Of Findings And Questioned Costs	8 - 10
Summary Schedule Of Prior Audit Findings	11



RubinBrown LLP
Certified Public Accountants
& Business Consultants

1200 Main Street Suite 1000 Kansas City, MO 64105

T 816.472.1122 F 816.472.1065

W rubinbrown.com
E info@rubinbrown.com

Independent Auditors' Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Lee's Summit, Missouri

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of City of Lee's Summit, Missouri (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.



Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance And Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose Of This Report

Rulin Brown LLP

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

December 21, 2017



RubinBrown LLP
Certified Public Accountants
& Business Consultants

1200 Main Street Suite 1000 Kansas City, MO 64105

T 816.472.1122 F 816.472.1065

W rubinbrown.com
E info@rubinbrown.com

Independent Auditors' Report On Compliance For Each Major Federal Program; Report On Internal Control Over Compliance; And Report On Schedule Of Expenditures Of Federal Awards Required By The Uniform Guidance

The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Lee's Summit, Missouri

Report On Compliance For Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Lee's Summit, Missouri's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.



We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion On Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Report On Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report On Schedule Of Expenditures Of Federal Awards Required By The Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2017, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

RulinBrown LLP

January 15, 2018, (except for our report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, which is dated December 21, 2017)

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For The Year Ended June 30, 2017

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/	Federal CFDA	Pass-Through Identifying	Federal			
Program or Cluster Title	Number	Number				
U.S. Department Of Housing And Urban Development						
Direct:						
Community Development Block Grant - entitlement prog.	14.218		\$	4,212	\$	_
Community Development Block Grant - entitlement prog.	14.218			64,093		_
Community Development Block Grant - entitlement prog.	14.218			12,741		_
Community Development Block Grant - entitlement prog.	14.218			105,253		_
Community Development Block Grant - entitlement prog.	14.218	_		80,024		29,689
		_		266,323		29,689
U.S. Department Of Justice						
Office of Justice Programs:						
Direct:						
Bulletproof Vest Program	16.607			5,358		_
Violence Against Women Grant Office:				-,		
Violence Against Women Grant	16.590	2015-WE-AX-0038		126,245		_
Total US Department Of Justice				131,603		
•		-		- ,		
U.S. Office Of National Drug Control Policy						
Passed through the Kansas Bureau of Investigation						
Midwest HIDTA Program	95.001	G16MW0003A		17,310		
Total US Office Of National Drug Control Policy		_		17,310		
U.S. Department Of Transportation						
Passed through Missouri Department of Transportation						
Airport Improvement Program	20.106	AIR 11-109A-1		2,115		_
Airport Improvement Program	20.106	AIR 11-109A-2		2,232,789		_
Airport Improvement Program	20.106	AIR 13-109A-1		4,474		_
Airport Improvement Program	20.106	AIR 15-109A-1		1,738,446		_
Airport Improvement Program	20.106	AIR 15-109A-3		5,156,263		_
		-		9,134,087		_
Passed through Missouri Department of Public Safety		_				
Federal 402 project	20.600	16-PT-02-078		5,221		_
Federal 402 project	20.600	16-154-AL-108		10,145		_
Federal 402 project	20.600	17-PT-02-071		20,070		_
Federal 402 project	20.600	17-MSHVE-03-017		3,104		_
				38,540		_
Federal Highway Administration through the		_				
Missouri Department of Transportation						
Lee's Summit Road	20.205	STP No. 3301(455)		2,691,295		_
Murray Road	20.205	BROB048(53)		26,320		
		_		2,717,615		_
Total U.S. Department Of Transportation		_		11,890,242		
Total Expenditures Of Federal Awards		_	\$	12,305,478	\$	29,689
		_				

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS June 30, 2017

1. Organization

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards presents the activity of all federal award programs of City of Lee's Summit, Missouri (the City) for the year ended June 30, 2017. All federal awards received directly from federal agencies, as well as federal awards passed through other governmental agencies, are included on the schedule.

2. Basis Of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the City and is presented on the cash basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

3. Local Government Contributions

Local cost sharing, as defined by the Uniform Guidance, is required by certain federal grants. The amount of cost sharing varies with each program. Only the federal share of expenditures is presented in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

4. Additional Audits

Grantor agencies reserve the right to conduct additional audits of the City's grant programs for economy and efficiency and program results which may result in disallowed costs to the City. However, management does not believe such audits would result in any disallowed costs that would be material to the City's financial position at June 30, 2017.

5. Indirect Cost Rate

The City has elected not to use the 10 percent de minimus indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS June 30, 2017

Section I - Summary Of Auditors' Results

Financial Statements				
Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles:	Unm	nodifie	ed	
Internal control over financial reporting:				
 Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)? 		yes yes	<u>x</u> x	no none reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements		<i>y</i> 0.5		none reported
noted?		yes	<u>X</u>	no
Federal Awards				
 Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are 		yes	_X_	no
not considered to be material weakness(es)? Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for		yes	_X_	none reported
major programs:	Unm	odifie	ed	
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)?		yes	<u>X</u>	no
Identification of major programs:				
Name Of Federal Program Or Cluster			CFI	OA Number
U.S. Department Of Transportation Airport Improvement Program				20.106
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$750	,000		
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	X	yes		no

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) June 30, 2017

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

None

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued) June 30, 2017

Section III - Federal Award Findings And Questioned Costs

None



SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS For The Year Ended June 30, 2017

Finding No.	CFDA No.	Program	Condition	Current Year Status
2016-001	NA	NA	Internal controls and the related processes over the reconciliation of the pooled cash operating account at the City were not properly modified and implemented upon conversion to the new general ledger software package (Lawson).	The City implemented additional controls on the bank reconciliation process and has corrected all previous conversion errors.
2016-002	66.202	Congressionally Mandated Projects - Cedar Creek Interceptor	The City is lacking proper controls over the review of expense reimbursements requests before submission to the EPA.	The City has implemented a review step for all future EPA grant reimbursement submissions.
2016-003	66.202	Congressionally Mandated Projects - Cedar Creek Interceptor	review of reports before submission to the	The City implemented a review process before future report submission to the EPA.