CITY OF LEE'S SUMMIT
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT SERVICES DIVISION
STANDARDIZED EVALUATION FORM
Interview Ranking Composite Score Sheet

PROJECT: COLS HR Assessment

RFP NO: 2017-110

## Composite Interview Score Sheet

| 30 Point 20 Point 10 Point   |     | Composite interview coole cheek |         |                            |                              |                     |  |
|--|-----|---------------------------------|---------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Questions Questions  |     |                                 |         | FIRM                       | FIRM                         | FIRM                |  |
| Outstanding       25 - 30       17 - 20       9 - 10         Exceeds Acceptable       19 - 24       13 - 16       7 - 8         Acceptable       13 - 18       9 - 12       5 - 6         Marginal       0 - 12       0 - 8       0 - 4  | Pts | # Mmbrs                         | Max Pts | The Novak Consulting Group | Matrix Consulting Group, LTD | Management Partners |  |
| 1. Evidence of Experience, Reliability and References: (FORM 3): Consider experience and references listed by the firm/provider on Form 3 of the RFP. Is the provider experienced in providing services similar to that requested in the RFP?. Consider any sub-consultants to be used and their experience (if applicable).   | 30  | 3                               | 90      | 77                         | 61                           | 74                  |  |
| 2. Expertise of Firm Personnel: (FORM 4):  Consider comparable experience and background of specific personnel that shall be assigned to the City's project as outlined on Form 4 of the RFP. Also consider the specific involvement of those persons in projects listed on Form 3 of the RFP. Experience on projects of similar scope and size: Project Manager, Project team, sub-consultants (if applicable).   | 30  | 3                               | 90      | 79                         | 53                           | 75                  |  |
| 3. Applicable Resources: (FORM 1, 2, AND 5): / Schedule Evaluate the extent of applicable resources available to the firm / provider to complete the City's project as listed on Forms 1, 2, and 5 of the RFP. Standard Quality Assurance/Quality Control program or procedures the firm has in place. Adequacy of proposed team/resources to complete project within proposed time frame.   | 10  | 3                               | 30      | 24                         | 17                           | 18                  |  |
| 4. Project Approach: (FORM 5): Evaluate the firm/ provider's approach to and understanding of the Scope of Services required in the RFP as evidenced by the project approach out. Project schedule and detailed approach is reasonable/responsive to City's needs. Roles of all involved parties clearly identified. Familiarity with project location as evidenced by proposal (if applicable). Identify/recognize critical or unique issues specific to the project. Adequacy of proposed communications process. Unique approaches that have been successful elsewhere. | 20  | 3                               | 60      | 50                         | 40                           | 38                  |  |
| 5. Cost: Includes all related cost associated with this project. (FORM 6D):  | 10  | 3                               | 30      | 30                         | 28                           | 25                  |  |
|  | 100 |                                 | 300     | 260                        | 199                          | 230                 |  |