PROJECT: Custodial Services RFP: 2017-063

## Composite Proposal Score Sheet

	30 Point	20 Point	10 Point												
	Questions	Questions	Questions					FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM
Outstanding Exceeds Acceptable Acceptable Marginal	25 - 30 19 - 24 13 - 18 0 - 12	17 - 20 13 - 16 9 - 12 0 - 8	9 - 10 7 - 8 5 - 6 0 - 4		Pts	# Mmbrs	Max Pts	Crystal Clear	J&R Investments	MC Janitorial	Riteway Maintenance	Town and Country	Alliance Janitorial	Citywide Maintenance	Nano Building
<ol> <li>Evidence of Experience, Reliability and References: (FORM 3):         Consider experience and references listed by the firm/provider on Form 3 of the RFP. Is the provider experienced in providing services similar to that requested in the RFP?. Consider any sub-consultants to be used and their experience (if applicable).     </li> </ol>					30	5	150	126	94	84	111	96	106	108	71
2. Expertise of Firm Personnel: (FORM 4): Consider comparable experience and background of specific personnel that shall be assigned to the City's project as outlined on Form 4 of the RFP. Also consider the specific involvement of those persons in projects listed on Form 3 of the RFP. Experience on projects of similar scope and size: Project Manager, Project team, subconsultants (if applicable).					30	5	150	114	98	96	108	74	100	108	67
3. Applicable Resources: (FORM 1, 2, AND 5): / Schedule Evaluate the extent of applicable resources available to the firm / provider to complete the City's project as listed on Forms 1, 2, and 5 of the RFP. Standard Quality Assurance/Quality Control program or procedures the firm has in place. Adequacy of proposed team/resources to complete project within proposed time frame.					10	5	50	40	40	34	30	31	33	39	26
4. Project Approach: (FORM 5): Evaluate the firm/ provider's approach to and understanding of the Scope of Services required in the RFP as evidenced by the project approach out. Project schedule and detailed approach is reasonable/responsive to City's needs. Roles of all involved parties clearly identified. Familiarity with project location as evidenced by proposal (if applicable). Identify/recognize critical or unique issues specific to the project. Adequacy of proposed communications process. Unique approaches that have been successful elsewhere.			20	5	100	86	60	55	34	78	54	59	33		
5. Cost: Includes all relate	ed cost associate	d with this pr	oject. (FORM 6D):		10	5	50	22	37	33	21	41	50	30	22
					100		500	388	329	302	304	320	343	344	219

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> The cost calculation formula was adopted by the City of Lee's Summit around 1995, as established by the State Of Missouri. As of December 2002 both entities use this formula.