CITY OF LEE'S SUMMIT PURCHASING DIVISION STANDARDIZED EVALUATION FORM Proposal Ranking Composite Score Sheet To Be Ranked Individually

PROJECT: Compensation Philosophy and Study RFP NO.: 2017-020

Composite Proposal Score Sheet

				Composite Proposal Score Sheet										
	30 Point Questions	20 Point Questions	10 Point Questions				FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	
Outstanding Exceeds Acceptable Acceptable Marginal	25 - 30 19 - 24 13 - 18 0 - 12	17 - 20 13 - 16 9 - 12 0 - 8	9 - 10 7 - 8 5 - 6 0 - 4		Pts	# Mmbrs	Max Pts	Evergreen	Gallagher	McGrath	Springsted	JJFitzgerald	MAG, Inc	CBIZ
1. Evidence of Experience	e, Reliability and	References:	(FORM 3):											
Consider experience and references listed by the firm/provider on Form 3 of the RFP. Is the provider experienced in providing services similar to that requested in the RFP? . Consider any sub-consultants to be used and their experience (if applicable).				30	6	180	137	120	126	143	103	145	152	
2. Expertise of Firm Perso	• • •	,												
Consider comparable experience and background of specific personnel that shall be assigned to the City's project as outlined on Form 4 of the RFP. Also consider the specific involvement of those persons in projects listed on Form 3 of the RFP. Experience on projects of similar scope and size: Project Manager, Project team, sub- consultants (if applicable).					30	6	180	137	116	116	137	110	142	148
3. Applicable Resources:		D 5): / Schedu	le											
Evaluate the extent of applicable resources available to the firm / provider to complete the City's project as listed on Forms 1, 2, and 5 of the RFP. Standard Quality Assurance/Quality Control program or procedures the firm has in place. Adequacy of proposed team/resources to complete project within proposed time frame.					10	6	60	45	42	39	48	41	52	50
4. Project Approach: (FO														
Evaluate the firm/ provider's approach to and understanding of the Scope of Services required in the RFP as evidenced by the project approach out. Project schedule and detailed approach is reasonable/responsive to City's needs. Roles of all involved parties clearly identified. Familiarity with project location as evidenced by proposal (if applicable). Identify/recognize critical or unique issues specific to the project. Adequacy of proposed communications process. Unique approaches that have been successful elsewhere.				20	6	120	92	94	63	103	55	112	111	
5. Cost: Includes all relate	ed cost associat	ed with this pr	oject. (FORM 6D):		10	6	60	42	18	54	18	24	18	60
					100		600	453	390	398	449	333	469	521