CITY OF LEE'S SUMMIT PURCHASING DIVISION STANDARDIZED EVALUATION FORM

Interview Ranking Score Sheet

Composite

All scoring must be assigned only per the below tables.

	30 Point	20 Point	10 Point								
	Questions	Questions	Questions						FIRM	FIRM	FIRM
Outstanding	25 - 30	17 - 20	9 - 10			Points	#				
Exceeds Acceptable	19 - 24	13 - 16	7 - 8			per	of	Max	The Clark	SFS	Thompkins
Acceptable	13 - 18	9 - 12	5 - 6			Criterion	Comm	Pts	Enerson	Architecture,	Associates
Marginal	0 - 12	0 - 8	0 - 4				Mmbrs		Partners	Inc.	Associates
1. Evidence of Experie	nce, Reliability	and Referen	ces: (FORM	3):							
Consider experience an	d references li	sted by the fir	m/provider c	on Form 3 of the F	FP. Is the provider						
experienced in providing services similar to that requested in the RFP? Consider any sub-consultants to						30	2	60	53	53	40
be used and their experience (if applicable). Reference check information memo provided to the										ļ	
committee.		•									
2. Expertise of Firm Pe	rsonnel: (FOR	M 4):			Consider						
comparable experience and background of specific personnel that shall be assigned to the City's project											
as outlined on Form 4 of the RFP. Also consider the specific involvement of those persons in projects						30		CO	50	F.4	43
listed on Form 3 of the RFP. Experience on projects of similar scope and size: Project Manager, Project						30	2	60	50	54	43
team, sub-consultants ((if applicable)										
3. Applicable Resource	s: (FORM 1, 2,	AND 5): / Sc	hedule		Evaluate the						
extent of applicable res	ources availab	le to the firm	/ provider to	complete the City	's project as listed on						
Forms 1, 2, and 5 of the RFP.						10	2	40	34	36	34
Standard Quality Assurance/Quality Control program or procedures the firm has in place.											
Adequacy of proposed	team/resource	s to complete	e project with	in proposed time	rame.						
4. Project Approach: (FORM 5):				Evaluate the						
firm/ provider's approa	ch to and unde	erstanding of	the Scope of	Services required i	n the RFP as						
evidenced by the project	ct approach ou	tline in Form	5 . Project scl	nedule and detaile	d approach is						
reasonable/responsive to City's needs. Roles of all involved parties clearly identified. Familiarity with						30	2	40	34	38	31
project location as evid	enced by prop	osal (if applic	able). Identif	ry/recognize critica	al or unique issues	30		40	34	30	31
specific to the project.											
been successful elsewh		•		•	•						
								200	171	181	148

Project: 2016-070

RFP No: On Call Architectural Services