Continued Storm Water Program Discussion

Public Works Committee March 29 2016



Discussion Items

- Comparison of proposed scenarios
- Capital improvement program
- Potential funding sources
- User fee determination
- Revenue projections
- Administrative concerns
- Policy issues
- Schedule
- Next steps

Overview of Scenarios

Detailed information provided on spreadsheet

SCENARIO	STAFF FULL TIME EQUIVALENTS -FTE	EQUIPMENT FIRST COST	STAFF AND MATERIALS ANNUAL COST	START UP TOTAL COSTS	Typical level of service
1	7.45	\$292,000	\$883,741	\$1,175,471	Increase customer service response Work order response time lowered Proactive inspections Reduced reactive response
2	11.9	\$567,039	\$1,494,348	\$2,061,387	Dedicated customer service team NPDES reviews conducted System inspection program Small system repairs as schedule allows
3	15.6	\$1,018,078	\$2,234,655	\$3,252,733	Water quality programs NPDES internal audits Systematic small system repairs Environmental permitting program

Capital Improvement Program, (CIP)

- OSoft costs include staff or consultants to; manage, survey, design, construction administration, testing, and inspection of capital projects.
- Allow 20% of CIP budget for soft costs
- 2.5 M annual budget will result in 0.5M soft costs and 2M in projects.
- o5 professional staff positions = 0.5M

Potential Revenues

SOURCE	TYPE	CURRENT LEVEL	ADDITIONAL AMOUNT AVAILABLE	INCREMENTS/ MAXIMUM	STACKABLE	Voter Approval Required	REVENUE PER UNIT/ TOTAL ADDITIONAL REVENUE
PARKS AND STORM							
WATER TAX	Sales	1/4 cent	1/4 cent	1/8 or 1/4	No	Yes	1/8 cent: \$1,750,000
							1/4 cent: \$3,500,000
							1/2 cent: \$7,000,000
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS TAX	Sales	1/2 cent	Any	1/8, 1/4, 3/8 or 1/2 cent	Yes	Yes	1/8 cent: \$1,750,000
							1/4 cent: \$3,500,000
							3/8 cent: \$5,250,000
							1/2/cent: \$7,000,000
GENERAL SALES TAX	Sales	1.0 cent		1/2, 7/8 or 1.0 cent	Yes	Yes	1/2/cent: \$7,000,000
							7/8 cent: 12,250,000
							1.0 cent: \$14,000,000
USE TAX	Sales	2.25 cents		Same as Current Sales Taxes		Yes	\$961,786
GENERAL PROPERTY TAX LEVY	Property	92 cents	8 cents	\$1.00 Max	Yes	Yes	Approx. \$179,200 per cent; \$1,433,600 for 8 cents
DEBT SERVICE PROPERTY TAX LEVY	Property	0.4697 cents	Up to 20% of Assessed Value	20% of Assessed Value , (AV)	Yes	Yes	Approx. \$179,200 per cent; \$1,433,600 for 8 cents
Stormwater Utility	User fee	N/A	Voter Approval		Yes	Yes	To be Determined, TBD

Legal Debt Information

- Max. debt limit allowed
 - 10% of Assessed Valuation, (AV)
 - Current total net debt applicable to the limit
 - Legal debt margin

\$330M

\$52.7M

\$277M

- Total indebtedness cannot not exceed 20% of AV
- Currently \$52.7M is serviced w/ 47 cent per \$100 of AV
- Each 1 cent tax levied yields \$179,200 in bonding capacity
- 16.7598 cents yields 3M in bonding capacity, w/ a tax increase of \$64/year on a \$200,000 property

User fee methodology

- O Black & Veatch was contracted to evaluate development of a stormwater utility for the city in Dec. 2005
 - A portion of the work was completed before the contract was terminated in 2008
 - Development of utility will require consulting expertise to complete
- Information from completed effort
 - Equivalent Residential Unit (ERU) is basis for user fees
 - Based on analysis of parcels 1 ERU = 3,258 square feet based on impervious area of roof, driveways, patios, etc
 - Some non-residential parcels were individually assessed based on impervious area using GIS

Example ERU Calculations

For non-residential, monthly fee determination:

- LS City hall & garage
 - 0 129,425 sq. ft/3,258 sq. ft (ERU) = 39.7 ERUs
- Neighbors café & rear parking
 - 5,730 sq. ft/3258 sq. ft (ERU) = 1.76 ERUs
- Summit Woods shopping center w/o Jack Stack BBQ
 - *o* 3,552,488 sq. ft/3,258 sq. ft (ERU) = 1,090 ERUs
- O QT at 50 Hwy & 291 North
 - *o* 38,086 sq. ft/3,258 sq. ft (ERU) = 11.69 ERUs

Example monthly user fees:

Based on 2007 work and 3258 sq. ft/ERU

	\$1/ERU	\$2/ERU	\$3/ERU	\$4/ERU	\$5/ERU
Typ. Base residence	\$1	\$2	\$3	\$4	\$5
LS City Hall	\$39.70	\$79.40	\$119.10	\$158.80	\$198.50
Neighbor's Café	\$1.76	\$3.52	\$5.28	\$7.04	\$8.80
Summit Woods Shopping	\$1,090	\$2,180	\$3,270	\$4,360	\$5,450
QT at 291 & 50 Hwy	\$11.69	\$23.38	\$35.07	\$46.76	\$58.45

EXAMPLE Annual Revenues

*Additional effort is required to estimate commercial account revenue stream. (outside consultant)

Top 90 based on 2007 work, 3258 sq. ft/ERU, and land ownership at that time

Assume:	\$1/ERU	\$2/ERU	\$3/ERU	\$4/ERU	\$5/ERU
Typ. Base residence	\$1	\$2	\$3	\$4	\$5
35,000 residential ERUs	\$420,000	\$840,000	\$1.26M	\$1.68M	\$2.1M
2,400 Non- Residential at 10 ERU avg.	\$288,000	\$ 576,000	\$864,000	\$1,152,000	\$1.44 M
Top 90 Non- residential properties	\$687,000	\$1,374,000	\$2.061M	\$2.748M	\$3.435M
Totals	\$ 1.395M	\$2.79M	\$ 4.185M	\$ 5.58M	\$6.975M

Administrative Concerns

- Development of a utility will need to address building, administration, and management of the database system.
- Billing process
 - Monthly/quarterly/yearly
 - Database for billing
 - Owner/ tenant responsibility
 - Partial payment/non-payment actions
- Periodic review of land use changes
- Appeal process for property owners
- Current residential/non-residential account analyses required prior to final base ERU fee determination. (outside consultant required)

Policy Issues

Consideration of policy issues will be required prior to utility development:

- Priority of issues to be addressed
 - Requirements for inclusion in program
 - Public only/ private (limiting parameters)
 - Structure flooding
 - Stream bank stability
 - Structural Impact to property
 - Yard Nuisance flooding
 - Yard loss due to bank erosion
 - Cost sharing of private issues

Policy (cont'd)

- Mixed funding stream
 - Bonds
 - User fee, utility
 - Tax revenue
- Set maximum fee any property can be charged
 - Potential significant impact on revenue
- Allow credits against fees
 - Zero runoff development
 - Rain gardens and/or green infrastructure elements

Policy (cont'd)

- Exemptions allowed
 - Churches, schools, government facilities
 - Agricultural and undeveloped property
 - Undeveloped residential lots
- Tiered rates
 - Implement several tiers of residential rates
 - Where do apartments fit residential or commercial
- Include planned fee increases
 - Increases for inflation

Implementation Schedule

<u>Issue</u>	<u>Months</u>
Policy decisions	3
Rate study (200k -300K)	8-12
Education /Outreach	12
Public vote	3
Develop billing system	6
Initiate fee	3
Collect fees	3-6

Estimate 18-24 months to startup, assuming overlap of many items noted above.

Next Steps

- Recommendation to city council
- Additional information required from PW?