# Incentive Policy Discussion

Community and Economic Development Committee





#### **Presentation Overview**

- Incentives History
- Conceptual Presentations
- Industrial Development
- Blighted Community Improvement Districts (CID)
- Issuance Fees for tax abatement
- Attainable Housing



# **Incentives History**

- City-approved incentives involve adjustments of taxes
- City can adjust taxes imposed by other taxing districts
- Purposes of Incentives:
  - 1. Induce a project to occur
  - 2. Project enhancement
- All incentives involve a public purpose
- Fairness and availability to everyone; developers must make request



# **Incentives History**

#### 1. Tax Redirection

Tax Increment Financing

#### 2. Tax Abatement

- Chapter 100
- Land Clearance for Redevelopment Authority (LCRA)
- Chapter 353

#### 3. New Revenues

- Community Improvement District
- Transportation Development District
- Neighborhood Improvement District



### **Incentives History**

- Primary Historical Purposes
  - Commercial: Fund public improvements; induce the project
  - Residential: Reduce uncertainty and lower costs
  - Industrial: Regional competition, growing demand
  - Mixed Uses: Blend of purposes
- Correlation vs. Causation



# Historic Reimbursement Ratios

Lee's Summit Incentive Reimbursement Rates
Updated May 2023

										poat	ea N	nay 2	023									
							Land Uses				Reimbursement Type and %											
Project	à	Acres	Total Project Costs*	Total Reimburseners**	Total %	People et a	Senior Residential	Commercial	omke	Protestral	Matoric Structures	Public/ Chic		į.	ICIN	8	001	Chapter 83	Owner 200	Other Gov? Fredby	Yotal N	Nahrsbornament as N. of Yotal Project Costs
Jupel Ridge	2000	258.0	\$108.7	\$31.9	29.3%		Г						ı	22.9%			6.4%				29.3%	19.7%
ummit Woods	2000	95.0	\$151.6	\$39.7	26.2%		$\vdash$	·	Ť			М	1 6	16.3%			9.9%				26.2%	26.2%
470 Business & Technology	2006	17.8	566.2	56.8	10.3%		-	Ė				Н	1 H	62%			4.1%				10.3%	10.76
iummit Fair	2006	58.7	\$162.8	\$55.3	34.0%		$\vdash$	<u> </u>		Ť		Н	1 -	19.7%		14.3%	2.18				34.0%	34.2%
iartiey illock	2006	1.3	\$7.7	53.5	32.5%		$\vdash$	i.				М	1 -	22.5%		21.00					32.5%	22.5%
act 50 Highway Contdor (Project 4)	2007	15.2	\$20.0	55.0	25.0%		$\vdash$					П	1 6	19.0%		6.0%					25.0%	25.0%
itter Place	3907	7.3	554.5	54.7	32.4%		-					П	1 -	22.8%		9.7%					32.5%	32.5%
iew Longview	2015	107.0	585.4	520.6	24.1%							П	1	24.1%							24.1%	24.1%
ohn Knox Village	2015	170.0	548.0	\$11.5	24.0%							П	ľ	-				24.0%			24.0%	24.0%
ine Tree Plaza	2017	12.4	59.3	52.4	25.9%			<u> </u>				Н	l h			25.9%					25.9%	25.9%
60 Blue Parkway Project	3017	3.5	\$12.5	51.3	10.6%		$\vdash$					П	l h	$\neg$		10.6%					10.6%	10.6%
Eage at View High	2017	34.0	569.0	\$10.3	54.9%		-					П	1 1	11.6%		3.2%					54.9%	14.9%
he Princeton	2009	37.0	535.5	SOR	2.1%							Ш	l l		2.1%						2.1%	2.1%
Ityscape Downtown Apartments	2019	2.7	551.8	59.3	18.0%			-				П	1 1	15.4%	2.6%						18.0%	18.0%
treets of West Pryor (Commercial & Apts)	2019	79.0	\$178.6	\$36.0	20.2%							П		11.0%		4.5%	1.0%		2.4%	0.2%	20.2%	20.2%
outholde Plaza Shopping Center	2020	4.5	54.8	\$1.4	29.9%							П	1 [			29.9%					29.9%	29.9%
edar Creek Shopping Center	2000	5.2	\$9.4	\$1.5	15.9%							П	1 [			15.9%					15.9%	15.9%
aragon Star	2020	332.9	5345.1	574.6	30.4%								1 1	13.1%		2.0%	13.1%			2.0%	30.2%	10.2N
treets of West Pryor (Townhomes)	3021	9.3	\$30.5	53.9	9.5%		-					Н	li		9.5%						9.5%	9.5N
hapel Ridge Shopping Center	3021	9.2	519.6	53.9	20.1%		$\vdash$	<u> </u>				Н	l h			20.1%					20.1%	20.1%
S Logistics - Scannell Industrial	2022	75.8	550.6	\$11.1	21.9%		$\vdash$					М	l h	$\neg$					21.9%		21.9%	21.9%
S industrial - 0/Dell		49.8	\$46.5	59.5	20.4%		$\vdash$	$\vdash$		•		Н	l h	$\neg$	20.4%				21.9%		20.4%	20.4%
s industrial - O'Dell arragon Star Parking Garage	3022 2022	0.7	\$10.5	50.2	2.1%		$\vdash$			•		Н	lŀ	-	2.1%						2.1%	
aragon star Partong Garage aragon Star Apartments	2002	1.8	\$59.0	51.3	1.7%		$\vdash$	•				-	lŀ	$\overline{}$	1.7%						1.7%	1.7%
			\$15.0	53.9	26.8%	•	$\vdash$					Н	l h	$\neg$	2.7%						26.3%	26.76
alle Vista Shopping Center	2022	4.4					$\vdash$	•			$\vdash$	Н	lŀ	-		26.0%				$\vdash$		
lackwell Mixed Residential	2022	56.0	\$109.1	\$4.0	3.9%	•	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	Н	1						3.9%	_	3.9%	1.5%
Scovery Park	2022	265.0	\$956.5	\$212.2	22.2%	•		•	•		$\vdash$	Н	H	20.2%		2.0%					22.2%	22.2%
cenic Development - Senior Care	2022	12.6	\$48.4	\$2.7 \$3.6	5.6%		*					$\vdash$	-						5.6%		5.6%	
unmit Square II Apartments	2022	11.4	\$72.2 \$65.7	\$3.6 \$3.3	5.0%	<u> </u>	$\vdash$					Н	l						5.0%		5.0%	
Ityscape at Douglas & Tudor own Centre Industrial - Ward Development	2022	22.0	\$65.7	\$3.3 \$3.4	19.3%	•						Н		$\dashv$					19.3%		19.3%	19.2%
		1.2	\$17.8 \$8.0	53.0	24.4%					•		$\vdash$	-		24.4%				29.5%		28.8%	
llic Glen	2023				20.4%	•	_	_	•	_	_	_		_						_	26.4%	24.4%
Grand Totals		1,768.9	\$2,784.3	\$579.7		12	3	19	5	4	2	2		13	7	13	5	1	7	2		
in Millions. Some reimbursement occurs in the	form of abate	ement value.																N.		lverage: Projects		

| Summary of Data
Data Range	2000-2003	Project Average N	18.5 N		
Number of Projects	32	TH Average	St. Is		
Sighest Reinburserect N	40°N	10°N	10°N	10°N	10°N
Nange without outlier	3-80°N	10°D Average	3.5 N		
Nange without outlier	3-80°N	10°D Average	6.5 N		
Ch. 10°D Average	4.5 N				
C					



### **Conceptual Presentations**

- Level of Detail
- Maximize feedback to Developer
- Project Management
- Council Agenda Management
- Permits Rule



# Industrial Development

- Job Guidelines
- Tenant Identification
- Targeted Industrial Areas
- "Most favorable consideration..."
   statements



# Industrial Development

#### Sample Abatement Packages for Large Scale Industrial Projects Missouri Side of Kansas City Region, 2015-2023

Updated April 2023

YEAR APPROVED	GOVERNMENT ENTITY	COMPANY	PROJECT	SQFT	ABATEMENT TERM	ABATEMENT LEVEL				
2015	Kansas City (PortKC)	NorthPoint Development	Three Trails Industrial Park (three buildings)	1,300,000	20 years	100% Years 1-13 50% declining to 20% Years 14-17 10% Years 18-20				
2016	Kansas City (PortKC)	NorthPoint Development	Northland Park (HWY 210 & N. Kimball Drive)	1,500,000	20 years	90% Years 1-10 50% Years 11-20				
2016	Grandview	Bulk Industrial	Southpointe Business Park	737,000	15 years	S8.5% Years 1-15, including 20% "Additional Rent" for infrastructure				
2017	Kansas City (PortKC) CVS Distribution Center		Skyport Industrial Park	762,000	22 years	90% Years 1-12 (plus 10-yr personal property abatement on equipment) 50% Years 13-22				
2017	Kansas City (EEZ)	Hunt Midwest	Hunt Midwest Businsess Center (HMBC I - III)	623,785	25 years	100% Years 1-25				
2018	Belton	NorthPoint Development	Southview Commerce Center (Building I)	454,489	20 years	96% Years 1-5 93% declining to 88.5% Years 6-12 55% Years 13-20				
2018	Blue Springs	Landmark	Faurecia	250,000	15 years	100% Years 1-10 (plus 15-yr personal property abatement on equipment) 50% Years 11-15				
2019	Liberty	Lane4	Liberty Logistics Center (three buildings)	922,000	10 years	100% Years 1-10				
2020	Liberty	Opus	Heartland Meadows Commerce Center	181,321	10 years	90% Years 1-10				
2020	Liberty	NorthPoint Development	Broadacres Industrial Park	3,300,000	20 years	90% Year 1 90% declining to 18% Years 2 - 20				
2020	Kansas City	MDC Inc.	Executive Park Logistics Center (2 buildings)	396,455	15 years	100% Years 1-10 50% Years 11-15				
2020	Kansas City (Port KC)	NorthPoint Development	Blue River Commerce Center	2,590,000	20 years	94% Years 1-10 50% Years 11-20				
2020	Kansas City (Port KC)	Van Trust	Niagra (NWC 112th and North Congress Ave.)	630,000	16 years	~92% Years 1-7 (plus personal property tax abatement) ~83% Years 8-11 ~73% declining to 35% Years 12-16				
2020	Raymore	Van Trust	Raymore Commerce Center	564,970	20 years	~97.5% Years 120				
2022	Lee's Summit	Scannell	LS Logistics	783,000	20 years	95% Years 110 75% Years 11-20				
2022	Lee's Summit	Zerega Pasta	Facility Expansion	160,000	10 years	75% Years 110; same for equipment (personal property)				
2022	Lee's Summit LS Industrial, LLC		LS Industrial	595,000	20 years	95% Years 1-10 50% Years 11-20				
2022	Lee's Summit	Ward Development	Town Centre Industrial	250,000	20 years	78% Years 110 50% Years 11-20				

#### <u>Notes</u>

The average abatement duration is 19 years.

The average abatement during years 1-10 exceeds 90%.

The average abatement during years 11-20 is roughly 50% with some notable exceptions, and some projects incorporate an annual decline during this period.

Some incentive packages include a fixed PILOT per square foot (Belton, Kansas City, Raymore); this has been incorporated into the abatement percentage calculation to create uniform data.

Some calculations are rounded because the fixed PILOTs produce fractional percentages.

#### Blighted Area CIDs

- Guidelines
- Improvements vs. Deferred Maintenance
  - > Enhancements are desired
- Interior vs. Exterior Improvements
- Tenant notifications



#### Issuance Fees for Tax Abatement

- Schedule of Fees & Charges
- Relative low fees, cover limited staff costs
- Some cities charge higher fees
- Use of funds for economic development purposes

