IT Steering Committee Project Selection and Budgeting Process FY 2019



Outline

- Who is on the Steering Committee
- Project process and purpose
- FY2019 Project Requests and Scoring
- FY2019 Project Selection and funding



IT Steering Committee Members

Mike Weisenborn – Dev

Mark Stinson – Fleet

Conrad lamb - Fin

Brent Boice-WU

Nick Edwards – Admin

James Brock-PD

Colleen Fetz-Law

Brian Austerman- Fire

Heping Zhan- Planning

Andy Holmes-Parks

Bob Hartnett – PW

Steve Marsh - ITS



Purpose of the Process

- Identify, Document, and Present the IT development needs from departments across the City.
- Review as a group the proposed projects.
- Score and prioritize the needs based on agreed to criteria.



Key Elements of the Process.

- Each project is presented by the representative of the requesting department.
- Departments are asked to prioritize their requests.
- The Committee asks questions to clarify the needs.
- Some projects are not scored, as they are determined to be required.
- Scoring is done by discussion and consensus.



Project Scoring Matrix

110								Raw	Weighted
Sliding Scale	10	8 - 9	6 - 7	4 - 5	1-3	0	Weight		Score
Public Health & Safety or External implementation Requirement Protection or Maintenance of Capital Assets/City Infrastructure	Project is required by law, regulations, court mandate, or vendor This square intentionally left blank	Project is required by agreement with other jurisdiction Critical to managing long term integrity and condition of existing City	Project will deliver a service that is critical to alleviate potential public health or safety hazard. Project will improve core operation of managing capital assets/City	Project would promote a delivery of service to maintain current levels of health or safety. May be conducted in conjunction with another jurisdiction Project will maintain core operation of managing capital assets/City	Project is City only and not externally required with little impact on public health or safety. Project will improve asset/infrastructure appearance	No health or safety impact is associated with the project. No city assets/infrastructure are impacted	25 25	3 8	75 200
including physical facilities		infrastructure/ physical facilities	infrastructure	infrastructure					
Customer Service (external or internal customers)	Has a high benefit/cost ratio.	Provides for delivery of new services or new information. A significant number of citizens/customers will benefit	Improves delivery of existing services or information. A significant number of citizens/customers will benefit. Has a medium benefit/cost ratio.	Provides improved access to city information. A portion of citizens/customers will benefit	Improves delivery of information. No change in numbers of citizens/customers served. Has a low/no benefit/cost ratio.	No customer service impact.	25	7	175
Business Process Improvement High Benefit/Cost ratio	Project is required to continue a core City function	Solutions significantly improves data, processes or systems.	Solutions improves data, processes or systems.	Solution eliminates redundant data, processes or systems.	Solution provides minor enhancements to data, processes or systems.	Solution does not improve our data, processes or systems.	20	4	80
Relation to Adopted Plans	Project has been adopted and approved by the	Project is included in formal plan that has council approval.	Included in adopted plan by city board/commission	Included in adopted plan by management team.	Project is included in written plans of City staff.	Project is not included in any written plans.	5	0	0
						Total Score		22	530



FY 2019 IT Projects Presented

IT Projects for Scoring and Prioritization

Enterprise ITS	Department	Requestor	Request Summary	Weighted Score			Labor				New Annual Expens ▼		Net Increase	
Court Neily Elliott Migration of court data from incode Score	Enterprise	ITS	SIRE Document Management System Replacement	Required/No score	\$	166,035		NA	\$	26,530	\$	32,276	\$	5,746
Police		Kelly Elliott	Migration of court data from Incode		\$	26,600	\$	2,600	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Police James Brock Mobile Video/Audio Recording Systems replacement 730 \$ 311,320 \$ 800 \$ 2,602 \$ 13,000 \$ 10,398	Enterprise	ITS	Implementation of Mobile Device Management	Not scored	\$	50,000		NA	\$	-				
Water Brent Boice Call Center Telephony Upgrade 585 \$ 53,900 \$ 6,400 \$ - \$ 3,864 \$ 3,864	Police	James Brock	CAD/RMS Replacement*	855	\$	563,539	\$	61,600	\$	89,825	\$	92,400	\$	2,575
Development Mike Weisenborn Interactive Online Mapping 580	Police	James Brock	Mobile Video/Audio Recording Systems replacement	730	\$	311,320	\$	800	\$	2,602	\$	13,000	\$	10,398
Water	Water	Brent Boice	Call Center Telephony Upgrade	585	\$	53,900	\$	6,400	\$	-	\$	3,864	\$	3,864
Fire Joe Dir FDM Inspection App. 535 \$ 19,910 \$ 2,400 \$ - \$ 6,000 \$ 6,000	Development	Mike Weisenborn	Interactive Online Mapping	580	\$	32,280	\$	7,280	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Development Mike Weisenborn CityView Electronic Plan Review 510 \$ 123,835 \$ 20,000 \$ - \$ 14,390 \$ 14,390 \$ 14,390 Development Mike Weisenborn CityView Mobile 505 \$ 54,580 \$ 11,200 \$ - \$ 4,650 \$ 4,650 \$ 4,650 Fire Dan Manley FDM - Image Trend Interface 485 \$ 33,050 \$ 1,800 \$ - \$ 3,500 \$ 3,500 \$ 5,000 \$ - \$ 8,000 \$ 8,0	Water	Brent Boice		565	\$	289,000	\$	15,400	\$	57,014	\$	57,014	\$	-
Development Mike Weisenborn CityView Mobile 505 \$ 54,580 \$ 11,200 \$ - \$ 4,650 \$ 4,650 \$ 1,650 \$ 4,650 \$ 1,650 \$ 4,650 \$ 1,800 \$ - \$ 3,500 \$ 3,500 \$ 1,800 \$ - \$ 3,500 \$ 3,500 \$ 1,800 \$ - \$ 3,500 \$ 3,500 \$ 1,800 \$ - \$ 8,000 \$ 8,000 \$ 1,800 \$ - \$ 8,000 \$ 8,000 \$ 1,800 \$ - \$ 8,000 \$ 8,000 \$ 1,421,949 \$ 109,480 \$ 118,957 \$ 174,216 \$ 55,259 \$ 174,216 \$ 55,259 \$ 174,216 \$ 1,421,949 \$ 109,480 \$ 118,957 \$ 174,216 \$ 1,421,949	Fire	Joe Dir	FDM Inspection App.	535	\$	19,910	\$	2,400	\$	-	\$	6,000	\$	6,000
Fire	Development	Mike Weisenborn	CityView Electronic Plan Review	510	\$	123,835			\$	-	\$	14,390	\$	14,390
Fire Dan Manley FDM - Pulse Point Interface 470	Development	Mike Weisenborn	CityView Mobile	505	\$	54,580	\$	11,200	\$	-	\$	4,650	\$	4,650
General Fun \$1,421,949 \$109,480 \$118,957 \$174,216 \$55,259	Fire	Dan Manley	FDM - Image Trend Interface	485	\$	33,050	\$	1,800	\$	-	\$	3,500	\$	3,500
Water Fund \$ 342,900 \$ 21,800 \$ 57,014 \$ 60,878 \$ 3,864	Fire	Dan Manley	FDM - Pulse Point Interface	470	\$	40,800	\$	1,800	\$	-	\$	8,000	\$	8,000
Department Funded Projects (little to no ITS Labor) Estimated Cost Labor Current Annual Expense Expense Expense Expense Cost Labor Current Annual Expense Cost Labor Cost Current Annual Expense Cost Cos				General Fun	\$1	,421,949	\$10	09,480	\$1	18,957	\$1	74,216	\$5	5,259
Department Requestor Request Summary Request Summary Estimated Cost Current Annual Expense Annual Expense Expense Expense Cost Current Annual Expense Cost Current Annual Expense Cost Cost Cost Cost Current Annual Expense Cost Co				Water Fund	\$	342,900	\$ 2	21,800	\$	57,014	\$	60,878	\$	3,864
Department Requestor Request Summary Cost Annual Expense	De	partment Fund	led Projects (little to no ITS Labor)											
Water Brent Boice Itron Meter Reading System Upgrade \$ 6,300 \$ 800 \$ 1,889 \$ 7,552 \$ 5,663 Water Brent Boice IVR & UtilityLink Payment System Upgrade \$ 10,700 \$ 1,200 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	Department	Requestor	Request Summary				Labor		Annual		Annual		Increase	
Water Brent Boice IVR & UtilityLink Payment System Upgrade \$ 10,700 \$ 1,200 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	Police	James Brock	Pen-Link replacement										\$	-
Withdrawn Department Requestor Request Summary Police Tanisha Artis iNovah at PD Fire John Spencer Network	Water	Brent Boice	Itron Meter Reading System Upgrade			,				1,889		7,552		5,663
Department Requestor Request Summary Police Tanisha Artis iNovah at PD Fire John Spencer Network	Water	Brent Boice	IVR & UtilityLink Payment System Upgrade		\$	10,700	\$	1,200	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Police Tanisha Artis iNovah at PD Fire John Spencer Network	Withdrawn													
Fire John Spencer Network	Department	Requestor	Request Summary											
	Police	Tanisha Artis	iNovah at PD											
Police James Brock Permanent Downtown Camera System		John Spencer												
	Police	James Brock	Permanent Downtown Camera System											

FY 2019 IT Project Request

General Fund IT Projects for FY 2019 Bu												
Request Summary	Weighted	Total		Labor		Current		New		Net		
	Score	Estimated			Annual		Α	nnual	Inc	rease		
▼	▼		Cost 🔽		_	Ex	pens	Ex	pens 🔻		_	
SIRE Document Management System Replacement	Required/No score	\$	166,035		NA	\$	26,530	\$	32,276	\$	5,746	
Migration of Court data from Incode	Required/No score	\$	26,600	\$	2,600	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Implementation of Mobile Device Management	Not scored	\$	50,000		NA	\$	-					
CAD/RMS Replacement	855	\$	563,539	\$	61,600	\$	89,825	\$	92,400	\$	2,575	
Interactive Online Mapping	580	\$	32,280	\$	7,280	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
FDM Inspection App.	535	\$	19,910	\$	2,400	\$	-	\$	6,000	\$	6,000	
CityView Mobile (Labor Only)	505	\$	11,200	\$	11,200	\$	-	\$	4,650	\$	4,650	
		_	369,564									
		\$	563,539	Fo	orfeiture Funding for PD CAD/RMS, out of \$						\$564,786	
		\$3	306,025	Ge	eneral F	und	Resen	ve B	alance F	Req	uest	
Water Department IT Projects for FY 20												
Itron Meter Reading System Upgrade	NA	\$	6,300	\$	800	\$	1,889	\$	7,552	\$	5,663	
IVR & UtilityLink Payment System Upgrade	NA	\$	10,700	\$	1,200	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Call Center Telephony Upgrade	585	\$	53,900	\$	6,400	\$	-	\$	3,864	\$	3,864	
		\$	70,900	Wa	ater De	part	ment P	roje	ct Fundi	ng		
CIS v4 Upgrade*	565	\$	289,000	\$	15,400	\$	57,014	\$	57,014	\$	-	



FY 2019 Process Outcomes

- A total of 16 Projects were reviewed
- Three projects were determined to be required.
- 10 projects were selected to be included in the City Managers FY2019 budget.
 - Four from Water
 - Six from General Fund departments



FY 2019 Funding

- \$70,900 coming from the Water Department.
- \$563,539 from forfeiture funds to upgrade the Police Department CAD/RMS software.
- An additional \$306,025 from the General Fund reserve balance to cover one time expenses related to the remaining five projects.



Questions

