

# REQUESTED MODIFICATIONS

October 28, 2025

RE: 150 & Ward PDP Application  
PL2025274

Dear Mr. Scott Ready,

Per the city's request, this letter shall serve as an official request for modifications for the PDP Application PL2025274 . Requested modifications with justifications are:

1. Modification of 20' Parking setback requirement. Applicant request a modification of the 20' parking setback from 20' to 0' on the North entrance from Arborwalk, near the roundabout
  - a. Justification: The ROW cuts into the site due to the Arborwalk roundabout.
2. Modification from 150 Overlay District requirements for primary entrances and facades to not be oriented towards parking lots, garages or carports. In addition, the requirement to connect each building entrance to the public sidewalk.
  - a. Justification: Feedback from the neighborhood indicates that sidewalk connections from each unit to public sidewalk would not be favorable and would encourage parking on Arborwalk. Additionally, the grade change on the street-side of the buildings will make an ADA sidewalk connection difficult to achieve. This site plan has removed the direct connections from the buildings directly to the public sidewalk for these reasons.
3. Modification from Sec. 5.510.B.4.a.(2) requirement for one out of every three multi-family buildings to have an ADA approved elevator.
  - a. In lieu of providing one out of every three buildings with elevators, we are proposing to provide a min of 1/3 the total number of units in a single building with elevator service. There are 272 total units \* 1/3 = 91 units required with elevator service. Building #8 (Type 'C') provides elevator service and will have 92 units to comply with this requirement.
4. Intentionally blank - This request is no longer applicable.

5. Modification from Sec 5.510.B.4.c.(6) requirement for at least 20% of all walls facing a public street to contain windows or doorways.
  - a. The Side elevations for building types 'A' & 'B' which face the street have less than 20%. The windows are placed in the locations appropriate to the interior functions and have been arranged with the exterior materials to create a balanced design with appropriate proportions. We believe as designed the side elevations meet the intent of the code; which is to have well-designed and attractive elevations facing the street and not blank spans of wall. To add additional windows is not conducive to the interior building functions and will not improve the exterior look. The main elevations facing the street exceed the minimum percentage which when taken into account with the side elevations will provide an overall percentage that is compliant.

WINDOW & DOOR %	
BUILDING TYPE A	
Front	29%
Rear	25%
Left	17%
Right	17%
BUILDING TYPE B	
Front	28%
Rear	26%
Left	17%
Right	17%
BUILDING TYPE C	
Front	26%
Rear	26%
Left	11%
Right	11%

If additional information is required, contact me at any time.

Sincerely,



Patrick J. Joyce, P.E.  
Senior Project Manager | Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.