

Composite Proposal Score Sheet

	50 Point	30 Point	20 Point	Pts	# Mbrs	Max Pts	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM
	Questions	Questions	Questions				Axon	Coban	Digital Ally	Enforcement	Kustom	Pro-Vision
Outstanding	41 – 50	Question	Questions									
Exceeds Acceptable	31 – 40	25 – 30	17 - 20									
Acceptable	21 – 30	19 – 24	13 - 16									
Marginal	0 – 20	13 – 18	9 - 12									
		0 – 12	0 - 8									
<p>1. Evidence of Experience & References with Similar Projects (FORM 1, 2, 3, 5) Consider experience and references listed by the firm/provider on Form 3 of the RFP. Is the provider experienced in providing services similar to that requested in the RFP?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Familiarity and experience with similar projects • Consider any sub-consultants to be used and their experience (if applicable) • Standard Quality Assurance/Quality Control program or procedures the firm has in place • Adequacy of proposed team/resources to complete project within proposed time frame 												
	30	4	120	105	99	63	120	55	0	97		
<p>2. Expertise of Firm/Provider Personnel (FORM 3, 4) Consider comparable experience and background of specific personnel that shall be assigned to the City's project as outlined on Form 4 of the RFP. Also consider the specific involvement of those persons in projects listed on Form 3 of the RFP. Experience on projects of similar scope and size:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Manager • Project team • Sub-consultants (if applicable) 												
	20	4	80	43	71	45	67	39	0	49		
<p>4. Project Approach (FORM 5) Evaluate the firm/provider's approach to and understanding of the Scope of Services required in the RFP as evidenced by the project approach presented in Form 5.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project schedule and detailed approach is reasonable/responsive to City's needs • Roles of all involved parties clearly identified • Familiarity with project location as evidenced by proposal (if applicable) • Identify/recognize critical or unique issues specific to the project • Adequacy of proposed communications process • Unique approaches that have been successful elsewhere. • Ability to meet City's defined requirements from Appendix A 												
	50	4	200	70	115	81	177	74	0	100		
	100		400	218	285	189	364	168	0	246		