

Composite Proposal Score Sheet

	30 Point Questions	20 Point Questions	10 Point Questions				FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM
				Pts	# Mmbrs	Max Pts	Hettinger	Leath & Sons	Pyramid	Wiedenmann
Outstanding	25 - 30	17 - 20	9 - 10							
Exceeds Acceptable	19 - 24	13 - 16	7 - 8							
Acceptable	13 - 18	9 - 12	5 - 6							
Marginal	0 - 12	0 - 8	0 - 4							
1. Evidence of Experience & References with Similar Projects (FORM 1, 2, 3, 5) Consider experience and references listed by the firm/provider on Form 3 of the RFP. Is the provider experienced in providing services similar to that requested in the RFP? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Familiarity and experience with similar projects Consider any sub-consultants to be used and their experience (if applicable) Standard Quality Assurance/Quality Control program or procedures the firm has in place Adequacy of proposed team/resources to complete project within proposed time frame 				30	3	90	70	81	83	90
2. Expertise of Firm/Provider Personnel (FORM 3, 4) Consider comparable experience and background of specific personnel that shall be assigned to the City's project as outlined on Form 4 of the RFP. Also consider the specific involvement of those persons in projects listed on Form 3 of the RFP. Experience on projects of similar scope and size: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Manager Project team Sub-consultants (if applicable) 				30	3	90	74	80	81	86
3. Applicable Resources (FORM 1, 2, AND 5) Evaluate the extent of applicable resources available to the firm / provider to complete the City's project as listed on Forms 1, 2, and 5 of the RFP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Standard Quality Assurance/Quality Control program or procedures the firm has in place Adequacy of proposed team/resources to complete project within proposed time frame 				10	3	30	26	28	28	28
4. Project Approach (FORM 5) Evaluate the firm/provider's approach to and understanding of the Scope of Services required in the RFP as evidenced by the project approach presented in Form 5. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project schedule and detailed approach is reasonable/responsive to City's needs Roles of all involved parties clearly identified Familiarity with project location as evidenced by proposal (if applicable) Identify/recognize critical or unique issues specific to the project Adequacy of proposed communications process Unique approaches that have been successful elsewhere. Ability to meet City's defined requirements from Appendix A 				20	3	60	44	48	46	55
5. Cost (FORM 6) Determination of cost and pricing data: Consider whether all elements of cost and pricing conform to the requirements of the RFP				10	3	30	27	30	30	27
				100		300	241	267	268	286