

PROJECT: Custodial Services
RFP NO: 2019-067

Interview Ranking Composite Score Sheet

Composite Interview Score Sheet 2.1,2.2,2.3

35 Point Questions 10 Point Questions

29 - 35 9 - 10

24 - 28 7 - 8

17 - 23 5 - 6

0 - 17 0 - 4

	Pts	# Mmbrs	Max Pts	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM
Outstanding	20	3	60	City Wide	H20	WBM	Top Brass
Exceeds Acceptable	20	3	60	52	54	30	54
Acceptable	20	3	60	51	54	31	55
Marginal	30	3	90	69	67	35	68
1. Evidence of Experience & References with Similar Projects (Appendix B) Consider experience and references listed by the firm/provider on Appendix B of the RFP. Is the provider experienced in providing services similar to that requested in the RFP? • Familiarity and experience with similar projects	10	3	30	22	27	16	27
2. Expertise of Firm/Provider Personnel (Appendix C) Consider comparable experience and background of specific personnel that shall be assigned to the City's project as outlined on Appendix C of the RFP. Also consider the specific involvement of those persons in projects listed on Appendix D of the RFP. Experience on projects of similar scope and size: • Project Manager • Project team • Sub-consultants (if applicable)	20	3	60	45	57	60	54
3. Applicable Resources (Appendix A, B, C, and D) Evaluate the extent of applicable resources available to the firm / provider to complete the City's project as listed on Appendix A, B, C, and D of the RFP • Standard Quality Assurance/Quality Control program or procedures the firm has in place	100		300	239	259	172	258
4. Project Approach (Appendix D) Evaluate the firm/provider's approach to and understanding of the Scope of Services required in the RFP as evidenced by the project approach presented in Appendix D. • Project schedule and detailed approach is reasonable/responsive to City's needs • Roles of all involved parties clearly identified • Familiarity with project location as evidenced by proposal (if applicable) • Identify/recognize critical or unique issues specific to the project • Adequacy of proposed communications process							
5. Cost (Appendix E) Determination of cost and pricing data: Consider whether all elements of cost and pricing conform to the requirements of the RFP.							

PROJECT: Custodial Services
 RFP NO.: 2019-067
 Interview Ranking Composite Score Sheet

Composite Interview Score Sheet
 For 2.4, 2.5 & 2.6

	35 Point Questions 29 - 35 24 - 28 17 - 23 0 - 17	10 Point Questions 9 - 10 7 - 8 5 - 6 0 - 4	Pts	# Mmbrs	Max Pts	FIRM City Wide	FIRM H20	FIRM no bid	FIRM WBM	FIRM Top Brass
<p>Outstanding Exceeds Acceptable Acceptable Marginal</p> <p>1. Evidence of Experience & References with Similar Projects (Appendix B) Consider experience and references listed by the firm/provider on Appendix B of the RFP. Is the provider experienced in providing services similar to that requested in the RFP? • Familiarity and experience with similar projects • Consider any sub-consultants to be used and their experience (if applicable)</p> <p>2. Expertise of Firm/Provider Personnel (Appendix C) Consider comparable experience and background of specific personnel that shall be assigned to the City's project as outlined on Appendix C of the RFP. Also consider the specific involvement of those persons in projects listed on Appendix D of the RFP. Experience on projects of similar scope and size: • Project Manager • Project team • Sub-consultants (if applicable)</p> <p>3. Applicable Resources (Appendix A, B, C, and D) Evaluate the extent of applicable resources available to the firm / provider to complete the City's project as listed on Appendix A, B, C, and D of the RFP • Standard Quality Assurance/Quality Control program or procedures the firm has in place • Adequacy of proposed team/resources to complete project within proposed time frame</p> <p>4. Project Approach (Appendix D) Evaluate the firm/provider's approach to and understanding of the Scope of Services required in the RFP as evidenced by the project approach presented in Appendix D. • Project schedule and detailed approach is reasonable/responsive to City's needs • Roles of all involved parties clearly identified • Familiarity with project location as evidenced by proposal (if applicable) • Identify/recognize critical or unique issues specific to the project • Adequacy of proposed communications process • <i>Identify any subcontractors that have been successful in the past</i></p> <p>5. Cost (Appendix E) Determination of cost and pricing data: Consider whether all elements of cost and pricing conform to the requirements of the RFP.</p>	20	3	60	52	54	no bid	54	no bid	54	
	30	3	90	69	67	no bid	68	no bid	68	
	10	3	30	22	27	no bid	27	no bid	27	
	20	3	60	45	57	no bid	54	no bid	54	
	100		300	239	259	no bid	258	no bid	258	

PROJECT: Custodial Services
 RFP NO.: 2019-067
 Proposal Ranking Composite Score Sheet

Composite Proposal Score Sheet Sections 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6

	30 Point Questions		20 Point Questions		10 Point Questions		Pts	# Mmbrs	Max Pts	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM	FIRM
	25 - 30	19 - 24	17 - 20	13 - 16	9 - 10	7 - 8				5 - 6	0 - 4	City Wide	H2O	Woodley	Lane's	Majestic
Outstanding	25 - 30	19 - 24	17 - 20	13 - 16	9 - 10	7 - 8	5 - 6	0 - 4								
Exceeds Acceptable	19 - 24	13 - 16	9 - 12	0 - 8												
Acceptable	13 - 18	9 - 12	0 - 8													
Marginal	0 - 12	0 - 8														
1. Evidence of Experience & References with Similar Projects (Appendix B) Consider experience and references listed by the firm/provider on Appendix B of the RFP. Is the provider experienced in providing services similar to that requested in the RFP? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Familiarity and experience with similar projects Consider any sub-consultants to be used and their experience (if applicable) 	20	3	60	54	43	38	48	48	no bid	48	48	48	48	48	48	no bid
2. Expertise of Firm/Provider Personnel (Appendix C) Consider comparable experience and background of specific personnel that shall be assigned to the City's project as outlined on Appendix C of the RFP. Also consider the specific involvement of those persons in projects listed on Appendix D of the RFP. Experience on projects of similar scope and size: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Manager Project team Sub-consultants (if applicable) 	20	3	60	53	44	42	45	48	no bid	45	48	48	48	48	48	no bid
3. Applicable Resources (Appendix A, B, C, and D) Evaluate the extent of applicable resources available to the firm / provider to complete the City's project as listed on Appendix A, B, C, and D of the RFP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Standard Quality Assurance/Quality Control program or procedures the firm has in place Adequacy of proposed team/resources to complete project within proposed time frame 	30	3	90	70	66	59	65	59	no bid	65	59	65	59	59	59	no bid
4. Project Approach (Appendix D) Evaluate the firm/provider's approach to and understanding of the Scope of Services required in the RFP as evidenced by the project approach presented in Appendix D. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project schedule and detailed approach is reasonable/responsive to City's needs Roles of all involved parties clearly identified Familiarity with project location as evidenced by proposal (if applicable) Identify/recognize critical or unique issues specific to the project Adequacy of proposed communications process Unique approaches that have been successful elsewhere 	10	3	30	25	26	23	21	27	no bid	26	no bid	23	21	27	27	no bid
5. Cost (Appendix E) Determination of cost and pricing data: Consider whether all elements of cost and pricing conform to the requirements of the RFP.	20	3	60	52	60	52	50	60	no bid	60	no bid	52	50	60	60	no bid
	100		300	254	239	214	230	242	no bid	239	no bid	214	230	242	242	no bid