

PROJECT: Consulting Services-Lawson Upgrade
RFP NO.: 2016-124
Interview Ranking Composite Score Sheet

Composite Interview Score Sheet

	35 Point Questions	10 Point Questions	FIRM				
			Ciber	AIC			
Outstanding	29 - 35	9 - 10					
Exceeds Acceptable	24 - 28	7 - 8					
Acceptable	17 - 23	5 - 6					
Marginal	0 - 17	0 - 4					
1. Evidence of Experience & References with Similar Projects (Appendix B)			Pts	# Mmbrs	Max Pts	Ciber	AIC
Consider experience and references listed by the firm/provider on Appendix B of the RFP. Is the provider experienced in providing services similar to that requested in the RFP? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Familiarity and experience with similar projects Consider any sub-consultants to be used and their experience (if applicable) 			10	9	90	85	67
2. Expertise of Firm/Provider Personnel (Appendix C)							
Consider comparable experience and background of specific personnel that shall be assigned to the City's project as outlined on Appendix C of the RFP. Also consider the specific involvement of those persons in projects listed on Appendix D of the RFP. Experience on projects of similar scope and size: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Manager Project team Sub-consultants (if applicable) 			10	9	90	83	70
3. Applicable Resources (Appendix A, B, C, and D)							
Evaluate the extent of applicable resources available to the firm / provider to complete the City's project as listed on Appendix A, B, C, and D of the RFP <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Standard Quality Assurance/Quality Control program or procedures the firm has in place Adequacy of proposed team/resources to complete project within proposed time frame 			10	9	90	83	65
4. Project Approach (Appendix D)							
Evaluate the firm/provider's approach to and understanding of the Scope of Services required in the RFP as evidenced by the project approach presented in Appendix D. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project schedule and detailed approach is reasonable/responsive to City's needs Roles of all involved parties clearly identified Familiarity with project location as evidenced by proposal (if applicable) Identify/recognize critical or unique issues specific to the project Adequacy of proposed communications process Unique approaches that have been successful elsewhere 			35	9	315	235	205
5. Cost (Appendix E)							
Determination of cost and pricing data: Consider whether all elements of cost and pricing conform to the requirements of the RFP.			35	9	315	254	229
			100		900	694	653