

CITY OF LEE'S SUMMIT
 PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT SERVICES DIVISION
 STANDARDIZED EVALUATION FORM
 Interview Ranking Composite Score Sheet

PROJECT: Health Insurance and Employment Benefit Brokerage/Consulting Services
 RFP NO.: 2017-060

Composite Proposal Score Sheet

	30 Point Questions	20 Point Questions	10 Point Questions	Pts	# Mmbrs	Max Pts	FIRM Gallagher	FIRM CBIZ	FIRM Holmes-Murphy
Outstanding	25 - 30	17 - 20	9 - 10						
Exceeds Acceptable	19 - 24	13 - 16	7 - 8						
Acceptable	13 - 18	9 - 12	5 - 6						
Marginal	0 - 12	0 - 8	0 - 4						
1. Evidence of Experience, Reliability and References: (FORM 3): Consider experience and references listed by the firm/provider on Form 3 of the RFP. Is the provider experienced in providing services similar to that requested in the RFP? . Consider any sub-consultants to be used and their experience (if applicable).				30	4	120	100	118	110
2. Expertise of Firm Personnel: (FORM 4): Consider comparable experience and background of specific personnel that shall be assigned to the City's project as outlined on Form 4 of the RFP. Also consider the specific involvement of those persons in projects listed on Form 3 of the RFP. Experience on projects of similar scope and size: Project Manager, Project team, sub-consultants (if applicable).				30	4	120	105	115	110
3. Applicable Resources: (FORM 1, 2, AND 5): / Schedule Evaluate the extent of applicable resources available to the firm / provider to complete the City's project as listed on Forms 1, 2, and 5 of the RFP. Standard Quality Assurance/Quality Control program or procedures the firm has in place. Adequacy of proposed team/resources to complete project within proposed time frame.				10	4	40	28	38	33
4. Project Approach: (FORM 5): Evaluate the firm/ provider's approach to and understanding of the Scope of Services required in the RFP as evidenced by the project approach out. Project schedule and detailed approach is reasonable/responsive to City's needs. Roles of all involved parties clearly identified. Familiarity with project location as evidenced by proposal (if applicable). Identify/recognize critical or unique issues specific to the project. Adequacy of proposed communications process. Unique approaches that have been successful elsewhere.				20	4	80	55	56	79
5. Cost: Includes all related cost associated with this project. (FORM 6D):				10	4	40	16	16	40
				100		400	304	343	372